

HELLISH 2050

RESOLVING THE CIVILISATIONAL CLASH

Hugo Jenks

Cover artwork: the three goddesses.

The religious ideology of Islam is today strictly monotheistic. However, for a brief period it was polytheistic, with a male god, Allah, and his three female companion goddesses, whose names were Lat, Uzza and Manat — Moon, Venus and Fate. Mohammed initially praised these three goddesses, but later believed that the revelation of their existence had been sent from Satan rather than Allah. The verses in the Koran describing them, the “Satanic Verses”, were subsequently removed. This raises the question: how many other verses might also have been ‘inspired by Satan’, but not removed?

From the descriptions given in Islamic scripture, it is clear that the area that Mohammed inhabited with olive trees and rivers could not have been Mecca. In addition, the earliest mosques did not face towards Mecca nor towards Jerusalem, but towards Petra in Jordan. There is ample archaeological evidence that these three goddesses were worshipped in the Petra region, where there was also worship of black stones, which were venerated as idols. This is paralleled by the veneration of the black stone meteorite set in a silver, vulva-shaped surround in the Kaaba at Mecca. Black stones were believed to aid fertility.

<http://www.lulu.com/spotlight/hjenks>

Download the PDF of this booklet for free:

<http://hellish2050.com>



INTRODUCTION

Do you enjoy being deceived? Do you study hard, work hard and pay your taxes, and are you a law-abiding citizen? All that you have worked for, and all the good principles that you believe in, are in the process of being dismantled – by those whom your taxes pay for: politicians, civil servants, the judiciary, the established church, the monarchy, the police, and yes, even the armed forces. Every single pillar of society is collapsing.

How do you feel about such betrayal? Do you feel good to know that those who ought to know better, and who your taxes pay for, are busy destroying you and your loved ones? After the initial despair comes anger, then a depression at feeling powerless to reverse this. Doing nothing is not an option while your birthright is being betrayed. Read on to see what necessary actions must be taken, if we are to have a secure, honest, and rational future. Distrust those who claim to bring peace, if their answer involves appeasement.

After every jihadi terrorist act, prominent politicians tell us that it was nothing to do with true, peaceful Islam. That it was a perversion of Islam, and that anyone who commits acts of violence in the name of Islam blasphemes the name of Islam. Do you believe this propaganda? It is certainly not based on any critical reading of Islamic scriptures. Who do politicians think that they are convincing? They cannot convince Muslims who have read the Koran and the Hadith, and who therefore know full well that Islam commands violence against non-Muslims. Such verses in the Koran have not been abrogated and are just as relevant today as when written. Nor can they convince increasingly well-informed non-Muslims. Deceit solves nothing.

SOBERING FACTS

- 35% of young Muslims in Britain believe suicide bombings are justified (24% of Muslims overall). [Pew Research, 2007.] In other words, the problem of lack of integration is getting worse, not improving.
- 27% of British Muslims do not support the deportation of Islamic extremists preaching violence and hate.
- About 1 in 5 Muslim students in Britain (18%) would not report a fellow Muslim planning a terror attack. [Federation of Student Islamic Societies.]
- 25% of British Muslims disagree that a Muslim has an obligation to report terrorists to police. [ICM Poll.]
- 16% of British Muslims believe suicide attacks against Israelis are justified. [Populus Poll, 2006.]
- 37% of British Muslims believe Jews in Britain are a “legitimate target”. [The Times, 2006.]
- 37% of 16- to 24-year-olds said they would prefer sharia law, against 17% of those over 55. [Policy Exchange, 2007.]
- 62% of British Muslims say freedom of speech shouldn't be protected [NOP Research.]

270 MILLION ! This is the estimate of the numbers killed due to Islamic Jihad over 14 centuries. This estimate may be on the low side. Other estimates give as many as 600 million. Of the 270 million figure, it comprises 120 million Africans, 60 million Christians, 80 million Hindus and 10 million Buddhists. Reference: Youtube watch **“Why We Are Afraid, A 1400 Year Secret, by Dr Bill Warner”**.

FACTS ABOUT ISLAM

You may find these shocking. You can easily check that they are true. The Koran is freely available online.

1. The word “Islam” does not mean “peace”. It means “submission”. Ignore those who disagree.
2. Muslims are allowed to tell lies. Telling lies can help Islam to spread into non-Islamic countries and gain political influence and control over non-Muslims. Look up: “taqiyya”, “kitman”, “tawriya”, and “muruna”. These are different types of lies.
3. The Koran does have some peaceful, tolerant verses, but these are the earlier Meccan verses, superseded (‘abrogated’) by Mohammed’s later sayings in Medina. The later verses explicitly call for the killing or bad treatment of non-Muslims.
4. A Muslim man can have sexual intercourse with the women he owns or controls. If his sex slave is already married, it is not regarded as adultery.
5. A wife cannot refuse her husband. He can beat her. She does not control her fertility, he does.
6. Extermination of Jews is within Islamic scripture. Hitler despised Christianity, which he thought was weak but thought Islam was strong. He allied with the Mufti of Jerusalem to eliminate Jews.
7. There are many cruel and inhuman punishments within Islamic (Sharia) law. These are contrary to the principles of Human Rights. They include cutting off hands and feet on opposite sides, execution of homosexuals by throwing them down from high places or burying them alive under piles of stones, execution of adulterers by stoning to death, and the death penalty for apostasy (leaving Islam).

8. Muslims are regarded as superior to non-Muslims. They believe non-Muslims are going to Hell anyway and can be treated badly. Christians and Jews (people of the Book) must pay a special tax, called “jizya” to Muslims, as a sign of inferiority and that they are subdued. Other non-Muslims convert or are killed.
9. Soon after Islam started, there were different versions of the Koran. Caliph Uthman decided which version was correct and had all the others destroyed. Can anybody be sure that he chose the correct one? Basic information is missing.
10. YOU may be regarded as a Muslim already! You just do not know it! That is why they say people “revert” to Islam, rather than “convert”.

If any of this was a surprise to you then you need to read the Koran for yourself. Start by reading chapter nine. It is the most recent of the major chapters and supersedes (abrogates) many of the more peaceful verses. Chapter nine verse five is still as relevant today as it was fourteen centuries ago. It calls for the killing of idolaters.

We must abolish the myth of “moderate Islam”. This deceit fools nobody, least of all Muslims themselves. It is simply putting off the time when the reality has to be addressed. President Erdogan of Turkey states the reality: “The term ‘moderate Islam’ is being lathered up again. The patent of moderate Islam belongs to the West. There is no moderate or immoderate Islam; Islam is one. The aim of using such terms is to weaken Islam.”

SWEARING AN OATH

I have performed my civic duty recently by serving as a juror in a criminal court. It is vitally important that all jurors must try the defendant according to the evidence and to perform the duty truthfully. At the start of the trial, every juror must swear an oath while holding a holy book of their choice, or, alternatively, make a non-religious affirmation.

The oath is: "I swear [by almighty God / by Allah / by Waheguru / on the Gita] that I will faithfully try the defendant and give a true verdict according to the evidence."

The defendants and witnesses must also swear oaths, or make affirmations, of appropriate wording.

This legal procedure is necessary; however, it does have a serious logical flaw. Namely: it assumes that the religion chosen mandates the followers of that religion to tell the truth. This is certainly the case for Judaism and Christianity – truth-telling is one of the Ten Commandments. However, it most certainly is not the case in Islam. (My knowledge of the Hindu and Sikh religions is too limited for me to comment on them.)

Within Islam there are several types of lying permitted, namely: "taqiyya", "kitman", "tawriya", and "muruna".

Given that Islam permits lying, particularly to non-Muslims, and that there is also the teaching that the laws of Allah are superior to man-made laws, it therefore is illogical for a Muslim defendant, witness, or juror to be permitted to swear an oath invoking Allah while holding the Koran.

Legislation should be introduced to ban the swearing of oaths to Allah while holding the Koran.

LETTER TO JUDGES

Your Honour,

I write to you on the subject of truthfulness, and how it relates to the swearing of oaths.

Where an oath is sworn to a higher entity – God, for example – the premise appears to be that by disrespecting that entity in giving a falsehood, some chastisement from them is expected to follow.

This could work if the juror, witness, or defendant who swears the oath believes that God wishes him or her to be truthful. This is the case in Christianity and Judaism; one of the Ten Commandments is not to bear false witness.

However, there appears to be no such constraint within Islamic scriptures. Indeed, Muslims are ‘permitted’ to tell lies under certain circumstances, and there is no moral impediment against this; see the “Swearing an Oath” section in the enclosed book.

Therefore, for a Muslim to swear an oath on a copy of the Koran appears to be meaningless.

It would also seem to be meaningless for a Muslim to make a non-religious affirmation.

It is not clear what can be done to resolve this matter. It is clear, however, that the current requirement for Muslims to swear an oath on the Koran is entirely unsatisfactory.

“ISLAMOPHOBIA”

On 27th November 2018, the All-Party Parliamentary Group on British Muslims published a report titled “Islamophobia Defined: the inquiry into a working definition of Islamophobia”. It contained the following definition:

“Islamophobia is rooted in racism and is a type of racism that targets expressions of Muslimness or perceived Muslimness.” In the UK, two mainstream political parties (Labour and the Liberal Democrats) have adopted this definition.

It has a number of problems. To start with, it introduces a new, badly defined term: “Muslimness”. If they try to define that word, will they introduce yet another? Islam is not a race, so criticising Islamic scriptures, or the violent, murderous behaviour of the prophet of Islam, cannot be racist. If someone were to convert to Islam, and their sibling criticised this decision, how could this be racist, given that they share the same parents? Would it be racism against the Chinese or Koreans to criticise Communism? Of course not.

Those who persist in defining Islamophobia as racism need to ask the question: what skin colour did Mohammed have? As described in numerous Hadith, Mohammed was white! Furthermore, he kept black slaves and regarded them as inferior, and of half the value of non-black slaves. You could even suggest that Mohammed was a white supremacist.

‘Ahmad ibn Abi Sulayman, the companion of Sahnun said, “Anyone who says that the Prophet was black should be killed.” *Ibn Musa al-Yahsubi, Qadi ‘Iyad, p.375*

“Did you see Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him)?” He said: “Yes, he had a white handsome face.” *Sahih Muslim 30:5777*

SAVING WOMEN'S LIVES

'Narrated 'Abdullah bin Umar: The Jews brought to the Prophet a man and a woman from among them who had committed illegal sexual intercourse. The Prophet said to them, "How do you usually punish the one amongst you who has committed illegal sexual intercourse?" They replied, "We blacken their faces with coal and beat them." He said, "Don't you find the order of Ar-Rajm (i.e. stoning to death) in the Torah?" They replied, "We do not find anything in it." Abdullah bin Salam (after hearing this conversation) said to them, "You have told a lie! Bring here the Torah and recite it if you are truthful." (So the Jews brought forward the Torah.) And the religious teacher who was teaching it to them put his hand over the Verse of Ar-Rajm and started reading what was written above and below the place hidden with his hand, but he did not read the Verse of Ar-Rajm. Abdullah bin Salam removed his (i.e. the teacher's) hand from the Verse of Ar-Rajm and said, "What is this?" When the Jews saw that Verse, they said, "This is the Verse of Ar-Rajm." So the Prophet ordered the two adulterers to be stoned to death, near the place where biers used to be placed near the Mosque. I saw her companion (i.e. the adulterer) bowing over her so as to protect her from the stones.' *Sahih Bukhari 6:60:79*

The punishment of stoning for adultery is not mentioned anywhere in the Koran – only in the Hadith, an example of which is given above. The Koran states the punishment for an adulterous wife depends on whether she was previously a slave. If she was, the punishment is halved. See Koran 4:25 It is not possible to be half stoned to death, nor stoned twice to death; therefore, if the Koran takes precedence, stoning for adultery should be abolished.

The Hadith makes it clear that this stoning punishment applied to Jews, based upon their own scripture, the Torah. Therefore, even if the Torah's rules were to be applied, they should not apply to Muslim women. Civilised nations should encourage Islamic countries to remove this punishment from Sharia law.

ANTI-SEMITISM IN ISLAM

Islam is inherently anti-Jewish. In an early phase of his prophetic career, Mohammed tried to persuade the Jewish tribes in his locality that he was a genuine prophet. During this phase, he tried to ingratiate himself, was tolerant and co-operative and learnt what he could about Judaism. Koranic verses from this phase are more considerate and reasonable. However, the Jewish tribes could not accept him as a genuine prophet, and so his mood changed. Stage by stage, they were forced to convert, fled, or were executed. Mohammed personally slaughtered hundreds of Jews – they were brought to him in batches to have their throats cut, their bodies thrown afterwards into trenches.

The more tolerant and considerate early verses were thus superseded, 'abrogated', by angry, hate-filled ones. Nobody has the authority to reverse this.

The Islamic end times prophecy describes the extermination of Jews by Muslims. It is quoted in the Hamas Charter:

"... the Islamic Resistance Movement looks forward to fulfilling the promise of Allah no matter how long it takes, because the Prophet of Allah (saas) says:

The Last Hour will not come until the Muslims fight against the Jews and the Muslims kill them, and until the Jews hide themselves behind a stone or a tree and a stone or a tree would say. 'Servant of Allah, there is a Jew behind me; come and kill him', but the tree of Gharqad would not say it, for it is the tree of the Jews." *Sahih Bukhari and Sahih Muslim*

Jews will always be under attack from Islam, while Islamic scriptures are believed to be true.

THE LABOUR PARTY

I first became aware of a serious racism problem in the Labour Party some two decades ago. An acquaintance who was a party member would go shopping at the Co-Op supermarket rather than at Tesco's, even though the latter was closer. I noticed this, and asked why, expecting the answer to be that the Co-Op stocked an item that she preferred. The reply shocked me: "Tesco is Jewish", she said. The shock was two-fold: firstly, I had thought that anti-Jewish hatred had long ago dissipated or was confined to pockets in the far-right. Secondly, I assumed that Labour was opposed to discrimination based on race. It opened my eyes.

Today, cases of anti-Jewish hatred in the Labour party are reported in the press on a near daily basis. Worryingly, it is becoming normalised. Those at the top seem uninterested in making any serious attempt to get to grips with it.

Given that around 80% of the Muslim vote goes solidly to Labour, and that Islam is inherently anti-Jewish, it seems unlikely that Labour would be anything other than anti-Jewish. If they took a stand against anti-Semitism, they would risk losing one of their largest voting blocks – one that is being boosted by demographic changes. But it could be different – if Labour re-asserted equality principles, kicking out any radical Muslims who rejected this effort.

The relationship of Islam to Labour is that of a parasitic wasp to a caterpillar, consuming the host alive and from the inside. When such a parasite has grown sufficiently, it discards the empty shell and emerges with greater strength and venom. The radical Islamic element within the Labour Party, when strong enough, may seek to create an Islamic Party, with the Labour vote collapsing as a result.

THE LIBERAL DEMOCRATS

Do the Lib Dems actually believe in liberalism or democracy? If they don't, they need to consider a name change. Consider the following two points and make up your own mind.

In 2008, the Lib Dem leader Nick Clegg published a newspaper advert, stating: "It's been over THIRTY YEARS since the British people last had a vote on Britain's membership of the European Union. That's why the Liberal Democrats want a real referendum on Europe. Only a real referendum on Britain's membership of the EU will let the people decide our country's future."

In 2016, they campaigned to remain in the EU. The remain side lost the referendum. Subsequently, they have been campaigning for another referendum. Now, the leader Jo Swinson has said that she would not honour the result of a second referendum if it again called for us to leave!

The official Lib Dem website published an article stating that Islam is liberal! This article was quoted extensively on 'Lib Dem Voice'. [Vince Cable and Humaira Ali on Eid](#): "People often look surprised when I talk about Islam as a liberal religion. The ethos in Islam is grounded in equality, freedom of choice, respect and diversity – no compulsion in religion and each individual is accountable for their own actions to God and to those they interact with." The Muslim author is significantly mistaken. The "no compulsion in religion" verse, Koran 2:256, is abrogated by verse 9:5, which calls for idolaters to be killed by Muslims "wherever you find them". The author must surely know this fact. The Liberal Democrats should be more careful what they publish. Perhaps they might like to consider a new name – "The Pro-Sharia Anti-Democratic Party"?

THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY

The Conservative Party should have ushered in a period of honesty and realism, when elected into office in 2010. The dishonesty regarding Islam, characteristic of the Labour Government of Tony Blair and Gordon Brown, should have been swept away. And yet, whenever an atrocity committed in the name of Islam occurred, prominent Conservatives parroted the same excuses and platitudes that Labour had done: that the terrorist act was “nothing to do” with genuine, peaceful Islam, and that it is only a tiny percentage of Muslims who are a problem. This is plainly dishonest.

Following the attack at London Bridge and Borough Market, PM Theresa May stated the usual nonsense, also adding that Islam is compatible with Human Rights, democracy, and Western values! You really could not make this up. I watched carefully to see whether any MP, of any party, would correct her error, but none did. Silence implies agreement.

Following the murder of Fusilier Lee Rigby in Woolwich, London, in broad daylight, by two Muslim converts, the then Mayor of London Boris Johnson stated: “I just want to make one obvious point which I'm sure will have occurred to many people, and that is that it is completely wrong to blame this killing on the religion of Islam. But it is also equally wrong to try to draw any link between this murder and British foreign policy, or the actions of British forces who are risking their lives abroad for the sake of freedom. The fault lies wholly and exclusively in the warped and deluded mindset of the people who did it. And what we need now is, for the sake of the victim, and for the sake of his family, is for those killers to be brought to justice.” (Note the studious avoidance of enquiry into the root cause.)

Also in response to the murder of Lee Rigby, Deputy Prime Minister Nick Clegg (Lib Dem coalition partner) cited this quote from the Koran: if you kill a man, it is as though you

kill all mankind... (Koran 5:32) However, if this verse is read in full and in context, it has the exact opposite meaning to that suggested by the short extract. In fact, it mandates the killing of those who are “spreading mischief in the land” by obstructing Islam. This would include British soldiers attacking Muslims in Islamic lands. Clegg gave his speech in a room full of Muslim community leaders. Not a single one corrected his theological ineptitude. They must have been chortling to themselves, at how this Kuffar (non-Muslim) was being deceived regarding the Islamic scriptures and how easily prominent politicians could be manipulated into, in effect, proselytising on behalf of Islam. Muslims are perfectly entitled to deceive non-Muslims, if it helps to spread Islam. There is no moral injunction against doing so. One of the Ten Commandments of Judaism and Christianity is, to not tell lies. There is no such commandment within the Koran.

“On that account: We ordained for the Children of Israel that if any one slew a person - unless it be for murder or for spreading mischief in the land - it would be as if he slew the whole people: and if any one saved a life, it would be as if he saved the life of the whole people. Then although there came to them Our messengers with clear signs, yet, even after that, many of them continued to commit excesses in the land.” Koran 5:32

The Conservative Party is being bullied into investigating “Islamophobia” among its members. This is a critically important moment. The Party must face down this challenge, for their own survival, and indeed for the survival of the whole United Kingdom. One of the acts of the outgoing PM Theresa May was to appoint a Muslim “independent adviser” on this matter!

LETTER TO CONSERVATIVE MPS

Dear ...,

Significant as Brexit is, I am writing to you regarding an even more important matter: namely, the Islamisation of the UK.

If the UK were to become Islamised, it would then be of minor significance whether or not we are in the EU. Islam seeks to dominate everyone, and is in the process of dominating the Conservative Party. It has already claimed the scalps of the Labour Party and the Lib Dems, as they have adopted the ridiculous definition of “Islamophobia”. This definition equates the criticism of Islam with racism. The accusation is made in order to stifle debate.

After every jihadi terrorist attack, prominent politicians are quick to denounce any connection with the religion of Islam. Tony Blair did so, followed by David Cameron, Nick Clegg, Theresa May, and unfortunately Boris Johnson too. His words of betrayal of the harsh truth are reproduced in the leaflet, enclosed. It is a direct quote.

The public cannot stand such deceit. Neither, it seems, can Conservative Party members. A recent poll of Conservative Party members, conducted by YouGov, has shown that around 61% agree with this statement:

“Islam breeds intolerance for free speech and calls for violent actions against those who mock, criticise or depict the religion in ways they believe are offensive”

Given that a number of Conservative Party members have already been expelled for criticising Islam and Islamic practices, it seems that if the Conservatives do indeed go

ahead and adopt a definition of Islamophobia, the Party will need to expel, in due course, some 61% of members! If you want to make a stand, you should do so now, before it is too late.

Since Islam is becoming ever more significant and powerful in the UK, we must all make the effort to understand it properly. I do not know whether you have read the Koran. It is necessary to firstly understand the key concept of "abrogation". Meaning that the chronologically more recent verses supersede the earlier verses wherever contradictions exist. Unfortunately the more recent verses are the least tolerant, and within them there are numerous instructions for Muslims to use violence against non-Muslims. In order to assist with the task of reading the Koran, I have produced an edition of it called the "Abrogated Koran". The abrogated verses are crossed out. The chapters are re-arranged into reverse chronological order, such that the most relevant ones are read first. The key topic areas, such as jihad verses, are colour coded. It is available as a free download from my website: hellish2050.com and I hope that you will examine it. Time is rapidly running out if we are to save western civilisation, decent values, and human rights.

Yours sincerely,
Hugo Jenks

Reference: YouGov / Hope Not Hate Survey Results,
Sample Size: 864 Conservative Party Members. Fieldwork:
14th - 18th June 2019

https://d25d2506sfb94s.cloudfront.net/cumulus_uploads/document/h76habg5k1/HnHResults_190618_ConMembers_w eb2.pdf

THE BREXIT PARTY

Nigel Farage is the leader of the Brexit Party, and formerly leader of UKIP. His dogged determination and political skill over the years ensured that we had a referendum on European Union membership in 2016 and that Leave won the referendum. Anyone who is in favour of Brexit can feel extremely grateful for his role in bringing it into the realms of possibility, and for keeping up the pressure on the Government to ensure that it will actually happen...

Regarding the serious matter of tackling Islam, however, he is not just neutral, but a significant impediment. Rather than just keeping quiet on it, he attacks those who do have the courage to speak out, including Gerard Batten, Anne Marie Waters, and Tommy Robinson. Here is the transcript of a brief interview between Nigel Farage and Avi Yemini:

AY: “Two nights ago we were watching the Bolt Report ... which created a lot of conversation where you condemn those on the right that are waging war on Islam”

NF: “On the entire religion, yes. It's nuts. I mean, you can do it if you want, but you will lose. Look, look, we have a massive problem right across the West with Islamism. I could take you right now to parts of Paris, parts of Brussels, that are now unrecognisable as Western cities.”

AY: “I've been there and seen it and I've served in the Middle East and I understand what you are talking about. I guess what people are asking is: The only reason we are not getting into that battle is because we are going to lose? Or?”

NF: “Morally it's wrong. And we are going to lose. Look, the vast majority of Muslims living in Western countries are settled, are integrating. Then you find the ghettos where they are not learning English. It's a disaster, and that extreme culture is flourishing. Let's fight Islamism. Let's fight the bad guys. Let's be a lot more robust about our Judeo-

Christian culture. Let's stop practices such as FGM being carried out wholesale. Listen, I'm not weak on this; I'm tough on this. But if this descends into a battle of hatreds, history teaches us that the ending will be very bad indeed."

AY: "Can I ask you straight: Do you think Islam is compatible – Islam itself, the ideology – is it compatible with Western values or not?"

NF: "Is the Old Testament compatible with Western values? I mean, you could ask that question actually of every religion. It is of course about interpretation."

AY: "Absolutely I agree."

NF: "There are parts, latter parts of the Koran that are troubling. But, do you know something? In the 21st century world, there are earlier parts of the Bible that are troubling. This is about interpretation. Do I think there is hope that we can get the vast majority of Muslims on our side against the bad guys? That is what we absolutely must do."

AY: "Can I put it to you ... as someone who has served in the Middle East and seen it close up – the problem is, that I see, and many see, Christianity and Judaism, they went through reformations. The problem in Islam is: the Koran is the word of God, and cannot be unchanged. Mohammed was the perfect man [who] every Muslim must emulate and be him. He married a six-year-old! He killed six hundred Jews! How do we reform that when they say he is the perfect man? He is not Jesus."

NF: "I think there is a strong argument for reformation to go on within that religion. I would not argue against that at all. But believe me, we've got to box clever on this. We've got to get this right. We must not encourage religious hatred between different religions, and indeed between different races. We've got to get this right. We must uphold our principles, our culture, our heritage."

AY: "Do you think that is even possible? To..."

NF: “Well, if it's not, we've lost.”

AY: “Well, maybe fighting it is the answer?”

NF: “So, how many will you kill?”

AY: “No, that is the question.”

NF: “I am asking you a question: What will you do? Kill them all?”

AY: “Absolutely not. I am not pro-genocide. I personally believe that. When you say 'moderate Islam' often enough – I hear you talk about the moderates – define 'moderates'. There is one Islam.”

NF: “I meet them every single [day] ... I think you are missing a trick here. I think you are saying 'everybody that's Islamic is hard line on the religion' – when the vast majority are not. The vast majority of Islamic faith living in Britain are pretty well integrated into society. I had lots of them vote for me!”

AY: “And I would argue they are not really [Muslim]. They are Muslim by identifying as a Muslim, as I identify as a Jew. But they are not Muslim by faith. You either believe Mohammed is the perfect man or not...”

NF: “No. Then you would say that every Christian hates homosexuals. Thankyou.”

Nigel Farage walks off, having lost the argument.

THE GOLDEN RULE

The Golden Rule states:

Treat others as one would like to be treated.

There are other forms of this foundational instruction. It occurs in many religions, such as Judaism and Christianity: "You shall not take vengeance or bear a grudge against your kinsfolk. Love your neighbour as yourself: I am the LORD." Leviticus 19:18

The Wikipedia entry for The Golden Rule mistakenly says that it exists in Islam. There are Hadith verses which approximate to it, but these were written many years after Mohammed, and can be unreliable. Wikipedia appears to quote from Koran 83:1-6, however their words do not correspond to any of seven translations into English, which describe fraudulent trading. No mention of loving neighbours!

"Woe unto the defrauders: Those who when they take the measure from mankind demand it full, But if they measure unto them or weight for them, they cause them loss." Koran 83:1~3

Note though that these verses are from the relatively tolerant and reasonable Meccan phase. The later, Medina phase has a very different character – of intolerance and harsh treatment of non-Muslims. For example, this later verse cannot be said to in any way represent the Golden Rule:

"Fight against those who have been given the Scripture but believe not in Allah nor the Last Day and forbid not that which Allah hath forbidden by His messenger, and follow not the Religion of Truth, until they pay the tribute readily, being brought low." *Koran 9:29*

The greatest kindness that we can do for a Muslim is to help them to turn away from this ideology, which binds them in chains of mental torment. Wish, instead, for them to be free. To enjoy freedoms that we ourselves enjoy.

HALAL SLAUGHTER

The RSPCA and the British Veterinary Association oppose non-stun slaughter. We must take heed of the advice of the animal welfare experts, and use the best available techniques which they recommend. It is an avoidable cruelty to farm animals, which both strict Islam and traditional Judaism require.

In Kosher abattoirs the throat of the animal is severed with a sharp knife, without any attempt to mitigate the pain and distress. This method, more or less identically, is followed in many Halal abattoirs. Some Halal abattoirs do use pre-stunning, however it is the grossly inadequate “stun to stun” method, rather than the method used in non-religious abattoirs, which is “stun to kill”.

Legislation is urgently required, to mandate the most effective technology currently available to be used in all abattoirs, without exception for religious traditions. Research is needed to develop even more effective stunning methods.

No civilised society should permit this wanton cruelty. The current legislation is inadequate. Not only that exemptions from humane treatment of farm animals is given to religions, but it also states that religiously slaughtered meat is “intended for” the consumption only by those followers of these religions. This intention is widely ignored. Halal dishes served to non-Muslims add significantly to overall cruelty.

In his first speech as Prime Minister, Boris Johnson has stated: “let’s promote the welfare of animals that has always been so close to the hearts of the British people”. A very quick piece of legislation could be put in place, preventing the sale of non-stunned meat to non-Muslim customers.

Human Rights v Islam

*First published on the UKIP Daily website, 7 Aug 2017.
Reproduced with permission.*

<https://www.ukipdaily.com/human-rights-v-islam/>

Theresa May thinks that Islam is compatible with Human Rights — stated in her speech following the terrorist attack at London Bridge and Borough Market. Read the transcript.

[The Independent. London terror attack: Theresa May's statement in full.

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/london-terror-attack-theresa-mays-statement-full-london-bridge-borough-market-a7771891.html>]

She criticises those who know they are incompatible, rejecting the clear evidence. An important purpose of UKIP, beyond Brexit, is to force politicians to stop deceiving us regarding Islam. Thus, we must put in the effort to read the source material and collate the evidence. Everyone in UKIP should read at least chapter 9 of the Koran, understand the terms “abrogation” and “taqiyya”, and watch the videos and read the writings of scholars such as Robert Spencer, Ayaan Hirsi Ali and Douglas Murray.

Source documents are the Koran, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). The Declaration and the Convention have a similar core set of Articles. However, the ECHR addresses an apparent anomaly in the UDHR. The ECHR has numerous Articles relating to the functioning of the European Court of Human Rights, which are irrelevant for us when we have our own Bill of Rights.

The thirty clauses of the UDHR do embody what we should aspire to, for the whole world. Below are examples

where the Koran is incompatible with it. This is not a complete list; however it does show the severity of the problem and that Theresa May is utterly mistaken.

UDHR Article 1 states that we should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood. Islam, when it can, subjugates non-believers as second class citizens who exist in a state of Dhimmitude and pay the Jizya tax as a sign of inferiority. Koran 9:29. [The Dhimmitude option is limited.]

Article 2 states that everyone is entitled to these rights irrespective of who they are. Under Islam women are regarded as the property of men, either her father or husband. The husband determines what she does and how many children she has. Koran 2:223.

Article 3. "Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person." Not in Islam. Koran 9:5.

Article 4 opposes slavery. Koran 4:24 allows a Muslim man to keep female captives as sex slaves. ISIS does so. Grooming gangs own girls by hooking them on drink and drugs.

Article 5 disallows cruel and inhuman punishment. Koran 5:33 mandates cutting off hands and feet on opposite sides.

Article 6: "Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law." Under Sharia Law the testimony of women is half that of a man, with grave miscarriages of justice. A rapist can accuse his victim of seducing him, and he is let off while she may be stoned to death for adultery.

Article 7 states that all are equal before the law. Sharia Law: the inheritance of a woman is half that of a man. Koran 4:11. Sharia Councils in the UK have de-facto jurisdiction regarding family matters and inheritance, in contravention of Human Rights. They must be abolished.

Article 16: marriage cannot be coercive. In Muslim community there can be huge pressure to marry. Marriage between first cousins is explicitly allowed, Koran 33:50, producing thirteen times the cases of recessive genetic disorders compared to the non-Muslim population. Islam seriously harms Muslims themselves. UKIP must campaign against this. Marriage between first cousins must be outlawed.

Article 18 states that there must be freedom of religion and freedom to change religion. Islam is incompatible, there is the death penalty for apostasy, Koran 4:89, Koran 9:11-12, etc. A Saudi citizen has been sentenced to death for ripping up the Koran.

Article 19 states that we must have freedom of opinion and freedom to express it. Islam abjures freedom: witness the fatwa against Salman Rushdie. Attacks on cartoonists have de facto created the death penalty for blasphemy. The UK [when Theresa May was Home Secretary] has prevented the scholar Robert Spencer from entering, breaching this Article.

There are numerous incompatibilities between Islam and Human Rights. These few examples are sufficient to prove that politicians, such as Theresa May, are mistaken. She must know this. Why the attempt to deceive us? We are not stupid! The UDHR has a flaw — it tacitly assumes all Articles are of equal weight. However, the right to freedom of religion is incompatible with other rights, where that religion is Islam.

ECHR Article 9 recognises the problem:

“Freedom of thought, conscience and religion

Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief, in worship, teaching, practice and

observance. Freedom to manifest one's religion or beliefs shall be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of public safety, for the protection of public order, health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others."

Islam is incompatible with democratic societies. Laws of Allah are regarded as superior to man-made laws. Islam is contrary to public safety: Killing infidels is mandated in the Koran. It contravenes public order: Muslims carrying placards inciting murder are not arrested. Islam is contrary to health: marriage between first cousins is allowed in the Koran. Islam is contrary to morals: It is immoral to slit the throat of an animal without pre-stunning. Islam will utterly destroy our rights and freedoms when it can do so. In the latter half of this century, if demographic trends continue.

Translations of the Koran into English are freely available online. Read verses in the context of the surrounding verses. All should understand Islam. There is no excuse not to. The Koran is freely available, as are the websites and videos of established scholars. Tackling Islam is the next challenge.

If UKIP is to have a meaningful future beyond Brexit it cannot behave as other parties do. It must be honest, decent, and bold. The freedoms we have inherited are easily lost. It is our duty to pass these on to the next generation. We cannot abandon them into a condition of Dhimmitude.

The UK's demographic transition to an Islamic state

*First published on the UKIP Daily website 7 June 2017.
Reproduced with permission.*

<https://www.ukipdaily.com/uks-demographic-transition-islamic-state/>

In what year will the UK have a Muslim majority? And does it really matter anyway? I will probably have passed away by then, so why should I care?

Yes we should care, for the sake of the younger generation.

They are already faced with wage compression, impossibility of getting onto the housing ladder if they have nobody to help them, huge debts, no prospect of a decent pension and lower life expectancy than their parents. Mainstream politicians will discuss these, although without offering any workable solutions. However, the most serious problem of all is never discussed. That the younger generation will be living part of their life under Islamic rule in the UK if current demographic trends continue. This is the massive elephant in the room, which nearly every politician is studiously ignoring.

We know that trying to discuss Islam in public will get you arrested. We really have only a few years to sort out the issue, the point of no return is approaching more rapidly than even I thought it was.


The Office for National Statistics had for several years repeatedly revised their population projections upwards. Clearly there is something wrong with their data, their algorithms, or their assumptions, or probably all three.

It is not easy to find all the data to make an accurate calculation, however what has to be calculated are births per women per year, immigration, emigration, and mortality rate. The calculation using actual figures and guesstimates where the data is obscured, indicates a Muslim majority early in the latter half of this century.

I did that calculation a while ago, however more recently it hit me — there is nothing particularly magical about the 50% threshold.

The demographic transition to the point of no return is the significant figure. Freddy Vachha [UKIP London regional chairman] put me on to this. He noted that there are not many countries with intermediate percentages of Muslim population. It is analogous to a see-saw, (or an electronic device called a “flip-flop”) it has two stable conditions, however the transition between the stable states is rapid.


Here is a graph of all countries in the world whose total populations are greater than two million. The vertical axis is the percentage Muslim population, the horizontal axis is the number of the country with that percentage of Muslims.



As we can see, there are not many countries in the world with Muslim percentages between around 20% and 80%, indeed hardly any between 20% and 50%. This is curious. It is as though it is not possible to have a stable population at these percentages. Quite probably as the influence of Islam

increases, and the consequent increasing levels of violence and intimidation take their toll, non-Muslims emigrate or are killed, or at least are not able to start or support their own families. There appears to be a rapid demographic transition from predominantly non-Muslim percentages to Muslim majority percentages.

In more detail, there is a change of slope at around 8% and another at around 22%. This latter percentage seems to be the absolute point of no return. From 8% onwards the slope is steep and fairly straight, maybe an increasing number of non-Muslims realise that the situation is hopeless, and are escaping if they can.




Let us focus now on the UK. Using census data, we can make some projections, of course with the caveat that events will modify these projections. Nevertheless, we can get some idea of the trends. The census records show that there was an increase in the Muslim population by a factor of 1.81 times between 2001 and 2011. In this same decade

interval the non-Muslim population increased by a factor of 1.05 times. Applying these same scale factors for the decades in the future, shows a dramatically increasing Muslim population.

Note that eastern Europeans are starting to return to their home countries and the indigenous birthrate continues to decline, so it is unlikely that the non-Muslim population would continue to increase as shown here. We need to consider two scenarios:

Scenario A — the assumption that the non-Muslim population will continue to increase, albeit at a much lower rate than the Muslim population.

Scenario B — the non-Muslims who can leave will do so. It may be that countries such as Poland and Hungary will continue as safe havens for a few decades after the rest of Europe has fallen to Islam. The following graph shows scenario B with a guesstimate multiplication factor of 0.8 per decade for the non-Muslim population. The percentages are calculated.



This S shaped curve is remarkably similar to the plot of percentage of Muslims by country as shown in the first graph, and this is probably not surprising. The transition is rapid, only a few decades which is not long compared to the duration that a country exists for.

As described earlier, the 8% and the 22% points appear to be significant, the latter being the point of no return. For scenario B for the UK this is around the year 2030, and even in the more optimistic scenario A, it is only around 2040, so we have very little time to save ourselves.

We are probably already past the 8% point, or within a few years of it. From now on it becomes increasingly difficult to reverse the situation.

Children born today [2017] in the UK will be living in a Muslim majority country by the time they are 30 years old in scenario B, or by their 50th year in scenario A. We only have about 13 years remaining to avert this catastrophe, or else condemn our children or grandchildren to living as Dhimmis under Sharia Law. The time to wake up is right now.

ABOLISHING THE ARMED FORCES

We have a stark binary choice to make:

EITHER we allow the UK to be Islamised. In which case we MUST disband the Armed Forces. An Islamised UK with nuclear weapons would be a serious threat to other nations.

OR we stop the UK from becoming Islamised. In which case we should strengthen the military, in order to ward off the threat from Europe which is rapidly becoming Islamised.

There is no logical third option, as far as I can tell.

It is the case that prominent members of all three main parties in the UK (Labour, Conservatives, and Liberal Democrats) have spoken warmly about Islam. They have NOT spoken out against Islam itself, which is at the root of the problems we face. Therefore, we must assume that the UK will, in time, become Islamic. If so, it becomes our moral obligation towards other nations that we abolish and dismantle the UK Armed Forces. And destroy all capability to produce weapons. In the UK, an Armed Forces Act must be passed every five years to enable the maintenance of a standing army, which would otherwise be illegal under the Bill of Rights 1689. We should not pass the next Armed Forces Act if the Islamisation trend continues.

Remember those who made the ultimate sacrifice for our freedoms. In vain, if we now fail to preserve these freedoms.



MOD CAPITULATION

I have written to Defence Secretary Penny Mordaunt, letter dated 3rd May 2019:

“The prime duty of Government is of course protection of the nation. I spent many years working in the defence industry, contributing to this task. I believe we are currently facing an unprecedented, and existential threat which I feel the need to draw your attention to, as it significantly affects Defence.

I have written to the US Ambassador asking that the USA discontinues any support for our nuclear deterrent. The reason is this: we are on an inexorable course towards the Islamisation of the UK. The Conservative Party expels any members, or advisers (such as Sir Roger Scruton) who criticise any aspect of Islam, and the Labour Party is increasingly reliant upon the Muslim vote. It seems that this is the course that the UK has set, and nobody is likely to reverse it.

It is clear that an Islamised UK armed with nuclear weapons will constitute a serious threat to our current friends and allies. Given that the ‘point of no return’ of the Islamisation of the UK is calculated to be within the decade 2030 to 2040, I believe we need to act rapidly to minimise the potential adverse effects of this on friends and allies. We need to have removed all nuclear weapons capability well before this point of no return.

I am writing to you in a logical and matter-of-fact way. This is the unfortunate reality that we find ourselves in. Under normal circumstances I would never suggest that we weaken our defences in this way. However, these are not normal circumstances.”

The Ministry of Defence has replied:

“Dear Mr Jenks,

Thank you for your correspondence dated 3 May 2019 to the Secretary of State for Defence, regarding your concerns relating to the United Kingdom’s nuclear deterrent. We have been asked to respond on her behalf.

As you note, the first responsibility of Government is the protection and defence of the UK and its citizens.

The nuclear deterrent is there to deter the most extreme threats to our national security and way of life, which cannot be deterred by other means. Successive UK Governments, including this Government, have concluded that for as long as nuclear weapons exist a nuclear deterrent is an essential part of our insurance against the uncertainties and risks of the future. The Government’s view is that we can best protect ourselves against substantial threats to our security by the continued operation of a minimum, credible nuclear deterrent. Accordingly, this Government has committed to maintain the deterrent and to continue with the programme to renew it. This was debated and approved by a significant majority (471 to 117) in the House of Commons on 18 July 2016.

As you will be aware there is no place in Britain for hatred against people because of their race, gender, ethnic origin, faith, sexual orientation, age or disability. We, therefore, draw your attention to the fact that this includes anti-Muslim attacks, which are unacceptable in Britain, and that your assertions based around the future demographics of our society are both unfounded and unwelcome.

Yours sincerely,

Defence Nuclear Organisation Secretariat”

LETTER TO DEFENCE SECRETARY

Sent to Ben Wallace MP, on 9th August 2019:

Dear Ben,

I previously wrote to your predecessor, Penny Mordaunt, regarding a serious political matter, and one which has a significant impact on defence. Unfortunately, she did not reply to it herself, but delegated the matter to the MoD, for them to reply – a little unfairly, as the core issue is outside their scope, and not surprisingly, their response was disappointing. You can read this correspondence reproduced in the “MoD Capitulation” section of the enclosed book, an earlier edition of which I sent to Penny with my letter. It would be very much appreciated if you would reply to these points directly yourself, rather than inappropriately delegating it. I do understand that you are busy; however, the letter primarily requires a political answer.

Within the “The UK’s demographic transition to an Islamic state” section, you can see a calculation of demographic changes in the UK. We seem to be on an inexorable path to Islamisation in this country. Given that neither the Conservative Party, nor the Labour Party, are indicating that they have any real grip on the demographic trend, it seems highly likely that we will soon reach the ‘point of no return’ in this process – quite probably within the decade 2030 to 2040. I believe we need to have removed all nuclear weapons capability well before such a point: an Islamised UK with nuclear weapons would be a serious threat to our current friends and allies, in particular the United States and Israel.

Since Islam is becoming ever more significant and powerful in the UK, we must all make the effort to understand it

properly. I don't know whether or not you have read the Koran. It is freely available online. Before doing so, however, it is necessary to firstly understand the key concept of "abrogation", which means that the chronologically more recent verses (those from the latter part of Mohammed's life) supersede the earlier verses wherever contradictions exist. Unfortunately, the more 'recent' verses are the least tolerant and include numerous instructions for Muslims to use violence against non-Muslims. Chapter 9 is the most recent of the major chapters; Koran 9:5 includes the phrase "kill ... wherever you find them". These verses remain as relevant today as when written 14 centuries ago.

In order to assist with the task of reading the Koran, I have produced an edition called "The Abrogated Koran", in which the abrogated verses are crossed out. The chapters are re-arranged into reverse chronological order, such that the most relevant ones can be read first. The key topic areas, such as jihad verses, are colour-coded. It is available as a free download from my website: hellish2050.com and I hope that you will examine it.

I hope that the enclosed book is helpful for you. We must all speak honestly and openly regarding Islam, and the seriousness of the threat that it poses us all. Could I please also ask that your colleagues are truthful about the root cause of jihadi attacks, namely: the Islamic scriptures and the example of the life of Mohammed. It is inevitable that there will be further Islamic terrorist attacks. The public will no longer tolerate deceit from prominent politicians, which constitutes a serious betrayal of trust.

Yours sincerely,
Hugo Jenks

The pattern of jihad attacks

*First published on the UKIP Daily website, 9 April 2017.
Reproduced with permission.*

<https://www.ukipdaily.com/pattern-jihad-attacks/>

Koran chapter 9 verse 5: Then, when the sacred months have passed, slay the idolaters wherever ye find them, and take them (captive), and besiege them, and prepare for them each ambush.

Instructions for Jihad

Contrary to what we are told by most politicians that Islam is a peaceful religion, which has been corrupted by misguided individuals the evidence, if you allow yourself to look at it dispassionately, is indicating otherwise. Even briefly looking at the Koran will convince you that the jihadists are following its instructions accurately. The painful reality is that the more devout a Muslim is, if they understand the principle of abrogation, then they will take far more notice of the violent, hate-filled, divisive verses in the Koran, rather than the peaceful, conciliatory, and religiously tolerant verses which also exist in the Koran.

It may be that most politicians have been fooled into thinking that Islam is peaceful because, yes, it does contain some peaceful verses. However, these verses have become null and void due to the principle of abrogation. Unfortunately it is the violent, intolerant verses which are the more recent and so take precedence over the earlier more peaceful ones. Knowledgeable Muslims will of course be aware of this fact. If they try to convince you otherwise they are deliberately lying. Deliberately lying to non-Muslims usually in order to further the interests of Islam even has a name. It is called taqiyya. Look it up if you have not heard of

it before. Also, look up ‘Koran abrogation’. In this age of the Internet there is no excuse for ignorance.

Jihad is mandated in the Koran, as this verse (and many others also) proves. Koran chapter 9 verse 5: “Then, when the sacred months have passed, slay the idolaters wherever ye find them, and take them (captive), and besiege them, and prepare for them each ambush.”

Patterns of attack dates

The words “when the sacred months have passed” indicate that there may be a pattern to the jihadist attacks. If we can analyse the dates of the attacks according to the Islamic calendar and if the dates are predominantly in non-sacred months, then it would be good evidence that the jihadis are following accurately the verses such as this. There are four sacred months in an Islamic year, and eight non-sacred. This is the well-known quote from the Koran, known as the verse of the sword, instructing believers to attack non-believers when the sacred months are over. “Kill them wherever you find them”. On the face of it, this indicates that the geographic location is not significant, but the dates are. What part of “kill” do politicians not understand?


The Islamic calendar comprises 12 months of more or less equal durations. The months are based on lunar cycles. The duration of the Islamic year differs slightly from the western calendar and so their year gradually rotates relative to our calendar.

There are four sacred months; one of them stands alone (Rajab) whilst three (Dhu al-Qi’dah, Dhu al-Hijjah, and Muharram) are consecutive. The question is: do the jihadists wait for non-sacred months in order to make their attacks, or are the jihadist attacks randomly spaced around the Islamic calendar? Some attacks are constrained in some way, such that they have to be carried out at a

particular date and/or location, because that is the only opportunity available to make that attack. The attack in Copenhagen upon the anti-Islam cartoonists fits this category. Other attacks are not constrained, such as attacks on a music concert. Such concerts regularly occur in different cities and dates, the jihadists can pick one which fits their own date and location requirements.

Considering just the attacks in the UK, Europe, and Turkey between January 2015 and March 2017, here are the figures:

The number of non-constrained jihadist attacks 29, resulting in 405 killed (not counting the terrorists) and 1566 injured. All of these are in non-sacred months, the probability that this is purely by chance is extremely small, one in 127,834. This proves that the jihadists are following



the Koran instructions accurately. And it proves that politicians are so wrong when they say it has nothing to do with Islam.

The number of constrained jihadist or not pre-planned attacks — 9, resulting in 105 killed and 525 injured. All but two of these attacks were in sacred months.

Terrorist attacks by type of attack.

Jihadist attacks are categorised as constrained or not constrained. Kurdish separatist do their attacks irrespective of whether the month is sacred or not.

The attacks by Kurdish separatists are restricted to Turkey. There have been 23 of these attacks resulting in 294 killed and 950 injured. They do not appear to be following a pattern of geographic locations and the dates of the attacks appear to be randomly distributed too. About one third of them take place in sacred months and this is as expected for random events. In general, they attack the Turkish army and Turkish police rather than civilians in night clubs or pop concerts. Kurdish separatists are politically motivated rather than specifically jihadist in nature. That the dates of their attacks are randomly distributed, indicates that they are not primarily taking the Koran as their inspiration.

Summary of attack dates

If we discount the attacks by Kurdish separatists, and those attacks which are clearly time and location constrained such as the Copenhagen attack, and attacks which are forced to be done immediately, such as when the terrorist has the net closing on them, and those individuals who clearly are not pre-planning (such as just been released from a mental hospital), then there indeed is a strong pattern: The pre-planned major attacks are entirely undertaken during non-sacred months.

As instructed in the Koran chapter 9 verse 5:

- The Koran clearly states that attacks should take place in non-sacred months.
- Where attacks are pre-planned they almost invariably occur in non-sacred months.

- Clearly the jihadists are accurately following the instructions in the Koran.
- No politician can now say that Islamic terrorism is nothing to do with true Islam.
- Kurdish separatist attacks are not constrained by date. The Koran is clearly not their primary inspiration.

In part 2, published on UKIP Daily

<https://www.ukipdaily.com/pattern-jihad-attacks-part-2/>

I examine the pattern of attacks by location. It appears that there is a shape drawn by these attack locations, like some sort of demonic dot-to-dot drawing. If that pattern is real then it implies that there is a central mastermind who is controlling these attacks.

Furthermore, it implies that there is likely to be a massive attack planned for London. Our security services have to be lucky every time; the jihadists have to get lucky only once.

LETTER TO ANTI-TERRORISM POLICE

Letter to Assistant Commissioner Neil Basu, chief anti-terrorism officer, sent on 12th August 2019.

Dear Neil,

I am writing to you regarding Islamic terrorism in the UK. There are several points to cover:

- After every Islamic terrorist attack, prominent politicians and official spokespersons attempt to distance it from Islam itself. This is clearly dishonest and inaccurate. We will never solve any problem if there is a state of denial regarding its root cause.
- The linkage between the dates (in the Islamic calendar) of the terrorist attacks and the teachings of the Koran to kill non-Muslims, shows an accurate correlation. Other than those attacks which are clearly time-constrained in some way, the pre-meditated, planned attacks are almost entirely perpetrated in the eight 'non-sacred' months (contrary to popular thought, the month of Ramadan is not a sacred month). Koran 9:5 clearly states that non-Muslims must be attacked and killed "when the sacred months are over". This, and similar verses, has not been abrogated.
- The fact that there is such a strong correlation between the terrorist attacks and the non-sacred months, is eloquent proof that the attackers are pious Muslims, accurately following the teachings of the Koran about killing non-Muslims.
- The fact that some Muslims might also be killed in attacks against non-Muslims is not an inhibitor. The attackers almost certainly regard those of their number who die in the attacks as 'Shahids' (martyrs), expecting that they would go to Paradise.

- A further proof that the Islamic terrorists are true Muslims who are following Islamic scripture accurately, is the following “controlled experiment”. In Turkey, there are Islamic terrorists, and there are Kurdish separatist terrorists. The attacks by the former are strongly correlated with non-sacred months. The attacks by the latter are not correlated; around a third of Kurdish separatist attacks are in sacred months and two thirds in non-sacred months, as you would expect from a random distribution.
- Over the 14 centuries that Islam has existed, an estimated 270 million non-Muslims have been murdered in the name of Allah. This figure comprises 120 million Africans, 60 million Christians, 80 million Hindus and 10 million Buddhists (as estimated by Dr Bill Warner, who has spent many years studying Islam).
- It is very difficult for pious Muslims to integrate, because of the clear teaching of the Koran: “do not take Christians and Jews for your friends”. Social cohesion is thus impossible. We have to acknowledge this fact.
- It is impossible to solve any problem unless its root cause is properly addressed. The root cause of Islamic terrorism lies directly within Islamic scriptures, and accordance with the example of the life of Mohammed.

On another matter, it is completely wrong that Muslim rape gangs are described as “Asian grooming gangs”. This is an unjustifiable slur on perfectly law abiding and well-integrated Hindus and Sikhs, amongst others. Please persuade politicians to use the correct term: “Muslim rape gangs”. And of course, sex slavery is permitted in the Koran – again, in accordance with the example of Mohammed.

Please do read the article “The pattern of jihad attacks” within the enclosed booklet. I hope that it is of assistance to you.

Yours sincerely, Hugo Jenks

GROOMING GANGS AND SEX SLAVERY

The violent and inhuman behaviour of IS (Islamic State) is entirely consistent with the teachings of the Koran. Beheading non-believers, cutting off hands and feet on opposite sides, throwing homosexuals down from high places, and sex slavery. Interestingly, stoning for adultery is not in the Koran. It is in the Hadith however and is entirely consistent with Sharia Law. Britain allowed Sharia Law to be partly introduced when the Labour government gave official recognition to Sharia Councils in 2008. First formed in mid-1982, coincident with the Falklands conflict. Subsequently, the Conservative — Liberal Democrat government did *not* abolish them. If you oppose Sharia Law in the UK then you must never again vote for Labour, Conservatives, or Liberal Democrats. Their behaviour is unforgivable. Of course the full implementation of Sharia Law has not yet happened in Britain. However, the framework to do so is already in place, and it is now only a matter of time before it happens. Within the Koran a Muslim man is perfectly permitted to have sex with the women who he owns: “whom your right hand possesses”. The same verse allows him to marry his first cousins. This has serious implications for recessive genetic disorders, some **thirteen times** more likely than for the non-Muslim population. The Koran harms Muslims too: *We have made lawful to you your wives, to whom you have paid their Mahr (bridal money), and those (captives or slaves) whom your right hand possesses — whom Allah has given to you, and the daughters of your 'Amm (paternal uncles) and the daughters of your 'Ammah (paternal aunts) and the daughters of your Khal (maternal uncles) and the daughters of your Khalah (maternal aunts)...* Koran 33:50

MARRIAGE BETWEEN COUSINS

Charles Darwin married his first cousin Emma Wedgewood in 1839. Years later Darwin realised that marriage between close relatives has an increased risk of detrimental effects on the children. His own scientific studies of plant and animal breeding showed this to be true.

Within English law there is currently no prohibition of marriage between first cousins. There is now an urgent need for such a law to be enacted, with the increasing incidence of it in the UK due to the increase of Muslim communities. The Koran itself clearly and unambiguously states that marriage between first cousins is permissible.

Within English law, you are permitted to do anything, unless it is specifically illegal to do so. As an aside, Napoleonic law which is prevalent in Europe, is the other way round — you cannot do anything unless it is specifically permitted within the law.

For years, really since Darwin performed his research, it has been well-known that marriage between first cousins can be detrimental. Most people avoided doing so, and therefore there was no great necessity for a law to prohibit such an uncommon practice. Now that it has become common in the last few decades, and getting worse, there is very much a need for there to be a law banning it.

The results are heartbreaking and incurable. Deformities and mental retardation are **thirteen TIMES** higher than for the non-Muslim population. Morality must prevail.

The UK Parliament website has a petition section. Members of the public can write a petition. If it gains five initial signatures it then goes for approval. Once approved, if 100,000 signatures are obtained then it is debated in

Parliament. I attempted to create a petition to outlaw marriage between first cousins. However, my wording was not approved, and the proposed wording that they would approve is so watered down that it would be ineffectual. Therefore, I withdrew the petition.

My wording, which they would not approve:

Make marriage between first cousins illegal.

Marriage between first cousins carries a significantly increased risk of serious harm. Recessive genetic disorders suffered by the children of first cousin marriage are some 1300% higher than for the general population. Causing severe disabilities with a grave impact upon quality of life.

The costs, in terms of carer time, emotional, and financial are significant. Estimated in 2004 at £2 billion per annum. [References included]. If enacted, this law may also help to reduce the incidence of forced and coercive marriage.

Their wording, which they would approve:

Make marriage between first cousins illegal.

Some studies have found that marriage between first cousins increases the risk of children being born with genetic disorders.

A task for the reader: Please contact your own MP, and ask them to raise in Parliament the matter of banning first cousin marriage. If they refuse, then inform a patriotic party!

ONE LAW FOR ALL

We must have one law for everybody with everybody subject to the same law. If not it will be impossible to have a cohesive society. Without one law for all, the workings of Democracy break down. Without these, we are on the path to tyranny. Laws of Allah are regarded as superior to man-made laws. So Islam is NOT compatible with Democracy.

We already have exceptions from the law for certain religious practices. For example, it is illegal for a non-religious abattoir to slaughter farm animals without adequate pre-stunning. Religious abattoirs are given exemptions from this rule, such that they can cut the throat without any stunning at all. The animals experience considerable pain and distress for up to several minutes, before losing consciousness. Some Halal abattoirs use stunning, but it is the inadequate “stun to stun” method rather than the better methods recommended by the British Veterinary Association. With “stun to stun” the animal can regain consciousness and still experience pain and distress. The RSPCA opposes non-stun slaughter entirely. The Labour Government officially recognised Sharia Councils in 2008. The Liberal Democrat-Conservative coalition Government, elected in 2010 did not abolish them. The Council of Europe has stated that they contradict Human Rights. Halala is a Sharia Law practice, as mandated in Koran 2:230 whereby if a couple divorce but then wish to remarry, she has to marry another man. The “marriage” is consummated. The new husband is supposed to then divorce her, but often delays it. Then she remarries her first husband. In the UK the fee is around £2500, and the “husband” is typically the Imam, according to a BBC investigation, 5 Apr 2017.

LETTER TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Attorney General
5 - 8 The Sanctuary
London
SW1P 3JS

5 November 2018

Dear Attorney General,

There is an apparent paradox in the Tommy Robinson case:

That the very act of finding Tommy guilty, would make the thing that he is accused of into a fact. If he is found not guilty, then there is no risk of the outcome of the rapists trial being affected.

In other words, if a court finds Tommy guilty of contempt of court, then the action of the court in doing so does itself put at risk the results of the trial of the child rapists. If the court finds him not guilty, then the child rapists would have no reason to call for a re-trial. In order not to put at risk the results of the trial of the rapists, it would appear that the charges against Tommy Robinson must be dropped.

The manner of his imprisonment must also be investigated. Who was it that ordered his move from a relatively safe prison to an extremely dangerous one? Whoever did so must have done it knowingly that his life would be put in danger.

Another matter:

The European Court of Human Rights has recently ruled that a woman convicted by an Austrian court of calling the Prophet Mohammed a paedophile did not have her freedom of speech rights infringed. This an extremely serious development. The implication is that we now are all subject to de facto blasphemy laws. It is a matter of factual accuracy that Mohammed married Aisha when she was very young. The ECHR appears to be endorsing such behaviour, by de facto making it illegal to criticise such behaviour.

Attorney General, history books of the future will record that you played a significant role in halting the Islamisation of Britain, by firstly dropping the charges against Tommy Robinson. And secondly, you would be recorded as saving us from creeping Sharia Law, by removing the jurisdiction of the de facto pro-Islam ECHR over the subjects of Her Majesty.

If all history books will have been burned, we would infer that you had failed in your duty.

The laws of Allah are regarded as superior to man-made laws. Therefore Islam is NOT compatible with Democracy.

Yours faithfully,
Hugo Jenks

NOTE: The Attorney General has not replied.

TOMMY ROBINSON

Tommy Robinson has been dealt a number of injustices by the legal system. Whether or not you agree with the assessment by John Bercow, the Speaker of the House of Commons, that he is “a loathsome, obnoxious, repellent individual”, you should agree with the principle that everyone is entitled to fair and honest treatment in a court of law. Read on to find out how he has suffered a number of blatant injustices. Would you describe him as a political prisoner?

TIMELINE:

- 25th May 2018: arrested for breach of the peace while videoing on his mobile phone outside Leeds Crown Court. Prior to starting this, he checked to see whether there were any reporting restrictions in place. He walked into the Court building on the day to confirm this. There were no notices of reporting restrictions posted in the Court premises, as required if there are any in place. Robinson, quite reasonably, therefore inferred that he was free to do the videoing. He did ask some questions of the Muslim grooming gang defendants as they entered the court, who then used foul language against him. They certainly did not appear to be intimidated by his presence. His questions were simply asking how they felt about the verdict. He did not stand in their path or hinder them in any way. There was hardly anyone else in the vicinity, and no apparent breaching of the peace. Following his arrest later that same day, he was seen by the judge for a very short time and was denied representation by a solicitor of his choice. He was found guilty of contempt of court by the judge alone (no jury). It seems that he was not tried for the cause for which he was arrested, namely, breach of the peace. This is the first serious anomaly. He was sent to prison straight away, for 13 months, without even having been able to contact his friends, relatives, or his usual solicitor. His videoing included

descriptions of the defendants as already found in the public domain on mainstream media: the Huddersfield Examiner and the BBC. As stated in Judicial College guidelines in place at the time, there can be no reporting restrictions of information which is already public. Mr Robinson carefully abided by these guidelines.

- Thereafter, the media falsely reported that Robinson had admitted contempt of court. This was subsequently, some time later, found to be completely untrue. How would the media have received this impression? There were only a few people present at his very brief “trial”.

- In prison he was kept in solitary confinement. Due to the significant risk that his food would be tampered with by Muslim prisoners who worked in the kitchen, he was not able to eat a standard diet but instead was on an extremely limited diet: a tin of fish, and a piece of fruit per day. He lost a considerable amount of weight.

- 1st August 2018: the Appeal Court issued its ruling that a new trial must be held, as the first had anomalies. He was released from prison.

- 27th September 2018: A brief court hearing.

- 23rd October 2018: Another court hearing, at which the matter was referred to the Attorney General.

- 5th November 2018: I could see that there was a paradox in the circumstances and wrote a letter to the Attorney General on this matter. (See the previous section.)

- 5th November 2018: Robinson was released from bail; in other words, his appeal regarding the contempt of court accusation was successful. The contempt of court charge was dropped. Presumably the paradox I had noted was understood by the Attorney General, or he had worked this out for himself. Newspapers continued reporting the matter as being one of contempt of court. Journalists are clearly rather careless.

- March 2019: the Attorney General decided that further proceedings should be brought, including new charges absent from the first “trial” in Leeds.
- 4th & 5th July 2019: a further trial, at which Robinson was found guilty, and which included a new accusation of causing distress to the defendants. Watch Robinson’s video, it is clear it was the defendants who were being abusive and using foul language. By contrast, Robinson asked straightforward questions. During the trial, an official from Leeds Crown Court was interviewed, who confirmed that they did not post notices of reporting restrictions on the trial. A further problem is that the case was not tried by a jury but by two judges, one of whom stated blatantly that the punishment was to act as an example. This is clearly unjust. Defendants should be tried based on their own alleged actions, not on what others may or may not do. Also, the judges in effect added a clause to the Judicial College document “Reporting Restrictions Guide”. Rather than permitting reporting of information already in the public domain, they instituted a further restriction, then applied their own new clause retrospectively to Mr Robinson! It resembles a kangaroo court. Further, they took his words out of context and made out that he was inciting his supporters to harass the defendants. This was clearly a deliberate misrepresentation by the judges.
- 11th July 2019: Sentenced to 9 months. Given the time already served, and that of the remaining time, half will be bail time, Robinson should be released some time in September 2019. It is noteworthy that this trial was held in the Central Criminal Court (also known as The Old Bailey). Concurrent trials in the other court rooms were mostly for murders – and yet, contempt of court is a civil matter, not a criminal one.

FURTHER INFORMATION

Here we see a judicial system that takes multiple bites of the cherry in order to put a man into prison, to make an

example of him. There are numerous anomalies. Whether or not you like Mr Robinson is beside the point. He is trying to highlight the evils of Islam, particularly the Muslim grooming gangs. See Youtube video: "[Tommy Robinson case: summing up and nailing down the key flaws](#)"

There is an excellent summary of the charges, trial, conviction and sentencing by Paul Ellis. He is the legal officer of For Britain. "We are now a country that creates bespoke laws to silence political figures."

Here is the For Britain Press Release:

www.forbritain.uk/tommy_robinson_verdict

Here is a more detailed analysis: The Tommy Robinson Contempt Judgement Summary

www.forbritain.uk/the_tommy_robinson_contempt_judgement_summary

Paul Ellis writes extensively on Islam, his publications are here: Islam Research Group islamresearchgroup.com

"A people that elect corrupt politicians, imposters, thieves and traitors are not victims, but accomplices." George Orwell

MPs Receiving Saudi Money

Some 38 Members of Parliament are alleged to have received money from Saudi Arabia. Including:

MP	Amount	Party
Rehman Chishti	£46,000.00	Conservative
Simon Hoare	£10,987.00	Conservative
Richard Bacon	£8,257.00	Conservative
Philip Hollobone	£8,257.00	Conservative
Leo Docherty	£8,257.00	Conservative
Mark Garnier	£7,802.00	Conservative
Stephen Metcalfe	£7,802.00	Conservative
James Heapey	£7,802.00	Conservative
Keith Simpson	£7,802.00	Conservative
Alex Burghart	£7,800.00	Conservative
Andrew Mitchell	£6,629.00	Conservative
Helen Whately	£3,187.00	Conservative
Phillip Hammond	£1,950.00	Conservative
Charlotte Leslie	£500.00	Conservative
Mike Gapes	£8,762.00	Labour
John Spellar	£8,762.00	Labour
Dr Paul Williams	£8,762.00	Labour
John Woodcock	£8,762.00	Labour
Kevan Jones	£8,762.00	Labour

Source: Daily Mail 18 Oct 2018 "MPs on the Saudi gravy train revealed"

THE GRAND DECEPTION

Prominent politicians of all three main political parties in the UK (Conservative, Liberal Democrat, and Labour) have repeatedly and consistently deceived the British public regarding Islam.

The primary deception is that they say Islam is a peaceful religion, and that it is only a tiny percentage of Muslims who are troublemakers. It is wrong on both counts.

The Koran has numerous verses which command violence and hatred. A few examples are given here. Look them up for yourself.

- Terrorism. Koran 9:5 8:12 9:29 etc.
- Sex slavery. Koran 4:24 8:69 33:50 etc.
- Death to apostates. Koran 4:89 5:54 9:66 etc.
- Cruel punishments. Koran 5:33 4:15 2:230 etc.

These verses have not been abrogated (canceled out).

While it is true that the number of Islamic terrorists is a small percentage of the total number of Muslims, this is only a half-truth. The full truth is that significant percentages of Muslims in the UK condone terrorism. They would not report a terrorist to the police. They believe too there should be the death penalty for apostasy and for blasphemy.

Worse still than the terrorism and the evil attitudes, is the demographic trajectory. The Muslim population of the UK is doubling every twelve years. The native population is in decline. It does not take a mathematical genius to realise that if this trend continues then there will be a Muslim majority at some point in the latter half of this century. How many mainstream politicians even mention this? Let alone suggest whether it is a good thing or not. Their silence is also a form of deception.

The point at which 50% of the population is Muslim is largely irrelevant since it will be impossible to reverse it at that stage. The more significant date is the point of no return. Depending on the scenario, this is likely to be between the years 2030 and 2040.

Time is short, if we are to save western civilisation. If you are concerned about this, then voting for the three main parties will not solve it. They got us into the mess, and they are studiously avoiding discussing it.

There are political parties which *will* address this. The For Britain party is led by Anne Marie Waters, who is a courageous and outspoken critic of Islam, and is deserving of our support. Gerard Batten of UKIP describes Islam as a “death cult”, which it surely is. If you vote for For Britain you will be helping to save us from Islamisation. Time is running out rapidly. The point of no return will soon be upon us.



During times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act.

Do you wish to be revolutionary? Make it your task to persuade your MP that it is not acceptable for his or her party to deceive the public regarding Islam. Obtain additional copies of this booklet, and send it to your MP and to other prominent people. Time is rapidly running out.

Obtain this booklet here:

www.lulu.com/spotlight/hjenks

QUOTES FROM THE KORAN

A small selection of troublesome verses. They have not been abrogated and so are just as relevant today as when written fourteen centuries ago. Read them in context.

Terrorism.

9:5 Then, when the sacred months have passed, slay the idolaters wherever ye find them, and take them (captive), and besiege them, and prepare for them each ambush. But if they repent and establish worship and pay the poor-due, then leave their way free. Lo! Allah is Forgiving, Merciful.

8:12 When thy Lord inspired the angels, (saying): I am with you. So make those who believe stand firm. I will throw fear into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Then smite the necks and smite of them each finger.

9:29 Fight against such of those who have been given the Scripture as believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, and forbid not that which Allah hath forbidden by His messenger, and follow not the Religion of Truth, until they pay the tribute readily, being brought low.

Sex slavery.

4:24 And all married women (are forbidden unto you) save those (captives) whom your right hands possess. It is a decree of Allah for you. Lawful unto you are all beyond those mentioned, so that ye seek them with your wealth in honest wedlock, not debauchery. And those of whom ye seek content (by marrying them), give unto them their portions as a duty. And there is no sin for you in what ye do by mutual agreement after the duty (hath been done). Lo! Allah is ever Knower, Wise.

8:69 Now enjoy what ye have won, as lawful and good, and keep your duty to Allah. Lo! Allah is Forgiving, Merciful.

33:50 O Prophet! Lo! We have made lawful unto thee thy wives unto whom thou hast paid their dowries, and those whom thy right hand possesseth of those whom Allah hath given thee as spoils of war, and the daughters of thine uncle on the father's side and the daughters of thine aunts on the father's side, and the daughters of thine uncle on the mother's side and the daughters of thine aunts on the mother's side who emigrated with thee, and a believing woman if she give herself unto the Prophet and the Prophet desire to ask her in marriage - a privilege for thee only, not for the (rest of) believers - We are Aware of that which We enjoined upon them concerning their wives and those whom their right hands possess - that thou mayst be free from blame, for Allah is ever Forgiving, Merciful.

Death to apostates.

4:89 They long that ye should disbelieve even as they disbelieve, that ye may be upon a level (with them). So choose not friends from them till they forsake their homes in the way of Allah; if they turn back (to enmity) then take them and kill them wherever ye find them, and choose no friend nor helper from among them,

5:54 O ye who believe! Whoso of you becometh a renegade from his religion, (know that in his stead) Allah will bring a people whom He loveth and who love Him, humble toward believers, stern toward disbelievers, striving in the way of Allah, and fearing not the blame of any blamer. Such is the grace of Allah which He giveth unto whom He will. Allah is All-Embracing, All-Knowing.

9:66 Make no excuse. Ye have disbelieved after your (confession of) belief. If We forgive a party of you, a party of you We shall punish because they have been guilty.

Cruel punishments.

5:33 The only reward of those who make war upon Allah and His messenger and strive after corruption in the land will be that they will be killed or crucified, or have their hands and feet on alternate sides cut off, or will be expelled out of the land. Such will be their degradation in the world, and in the Hereafter theirs will be an awful doom;

4:15 As for those of your women who are guilty of lewdness, call to witness four of you against them. And if they testify (to the truth of the allegation) then confine them to the houses until death take them or (until) Allah appoint for them a way (through new legislation).

2:230 And if he hath divorced her (the third time), then she is not lawful unto him thereafter until she hath wedded another husband. Then if he (the other husband) divorce her it is no sin for both of them that they come together again if they consider that they are able to observe the limits of Allah. These are the limits of Allah. He manifesteth them for people who have knowledge.

The "Abrogated Koran" is available as a free download from hellish2050.com and can also be ordered as a printed copy. The abrogated verses are crossed out.

LETTER TO JOURNALISTS

It may be that a comfortable lie is more popular than the painful truth. Newspapers, of course, must appeal to their customers, or else risk going bankrupt. It may be, therefore, that economic constraints have determined how truthful a newspaper can afford to be. Honesty can be costly.

Allowing prominent figures to deceive the public unchallenged is also a form of censorship. For example, after the murder of Fusilier Lee Rigby by Muslim converts, performed in the name of Islam, Mayor of London Boris Johnson stated it was "...completely wrong to blame this killing on the religion of Islam". Not a single mainstream newspaper corrected his theological error, as far as I am aware. However, as a journalist writing on the 7/7/2005 London bombings, Mr Johnson said: "To any non-Muslim reader of the Koran, Islamophobia — fear of Islam — seems a natural reaction, and, indeed, exactly what that text is intended to provoke. Judged purely on its scripture — to say nothing of what is preached in the mosques — it is the most viciously sectarian of all religions in its heartlessness towards unbelievers." Who got at him between 2005 and 2013? Why was he not expelled?

No problem can be solved unless the root cause is honestly addressed. The terrorism inspired by Islamic scriptures and the example of the life of Mohammed cannot be addressed unless its source is publicly stated and acknowledged. There seems to be a collaboration of comfortable deceit. The public is not fooled, however. It may be that, for a change, truthfulness in journalism regarding Islam should now be attempted. It might just boost newspaper sales, too!

Though Islamic terrorism is terrible, the demographic risk is even more severe. Terrorism only affects a small proportion of the population, whereas demographic changes will, in time, affect everyone. The pen is mightier than the sword — but not if writers self-censor. Truth-telling is revolutionary.

CAN CHRISTIANITY HELP?

On 14th May 1999, Pope John Paul II kissed a copy of the Koran. The Koran condemns the fundamental beliefs of Christianity, and Christians themselves. On 30th November 2006, Pope Benedict XVI prayed alongside Muslims in a mosque, facing towards Mecca. Thus, successive Popes have demonstrated the subservience of the Catholic Church to Islam. The current Pope, Francis, has stated, “I do not believe it is right to identify Islam with violence”!

On 7th February 2008, the then Archbishop of Canterbury, Dr. Rowan Williams, indicated it was inevitable that elements of Sharia law would be introduced into British law. In doing so, he contradicted the teachings of Jesus on keeping Church and State separate: “Render unto Caesar what is Caesar’s, and unto God what is God’s” (Mark 12:17).

In January 2017, on the Feast of the Epiphany, Glasgow Episcopal Cathedral welcomed a Muslim to chant from the Koran during the service. The singing may be beautiful, but the words were a denial of Christ. Wherever Muslim prayers are given, that place becomes dedicated to Allah. Gloucester Cathedral has likewise become deconsecrated.

At a time when Christians face severe persecution in Islamic countries (witness, for example, the current fierce backlash against the release of Asia Bibi in Pakistan), the Anglican and Catholic Churches are failing to speak out against the root cause: the teachings of Islam itself, as expressed in the Koran and Hadith, and the life of Mohammed. The few clerics who do speak out about the issue, such as Rev. Gavin Ashenden and Bishop Michael Nazir-Ali, are sidelined. Why?

OUTREACH TO MUSLIMS

If the world is to ever rid itself of Islam, Muslims themselves have to dismantle Islam. Persuading a Muslim to abandon their faith is not easy, however it is necessary. The stakes are very high for them. Within Sharia, leaving or even questioning the faith — apostasy — is deemed worthy of the death penalty. In the UK, it is likely to incur death threats, or at least rejection by one's family, friends, and community. There is currently limited support offered by the Church for Muslims who convert to Christianity. This is shameful.

When speaking with Muslims, remember to be respectful, recognising our shared humanity. The importance of remaining calm and rational when debating theological points is a very powerful weapon against a theology which is not at all rational or calm. Practice the Golden Rule: to treat others as we would wish to be treated ourselves.

An Internet search for “why I left Islam” will find over 100 million results. These web pages and videos are well worth examining as they provide many sound arguments than can be used when discussing the matter with Muslims. Dissatisfaction with the moral standards and conduct in the Koran and the life of Mohammed are often cited as reasons for leaving Islam, as are scientific absurdities in the Koran.

Be proactive too. Mosques may have times when they are open to the public. Have some courage and visit one. Speak calmly and politely. Sow some seeds of doubt — it may be that a Muslim will have you to thank for leaving this dark ideology behind. You will have given them a great gift.

HOW DO YOU FEEL?

To me it feels surreal that we are in the situation of having to battle for our very existence. How can it be that today, in the 21st century, we are having to get to grips with a 7th century evil ideology which is scientifically illiterate. It should not be happening!

Even more baffling is that every single part of the establishment has failed and is giving in to become Dhimmis by bowing down in a state of servitude and inferiority to Islam. Every part is a failure: political parties, the media, the monarchy, the judiciary, the civil service, the established church, and the police. Even the armed forces are well on the way to failing.

Feelings of waves of despair and depression are entirely natural in the circumstances. A boundless hopelessness puts us into a state of inaction and this will solve nothing. Or feelings of baseless optimism, that it will all work out OK so stop worrying, will also solve nothing. They want you as sheep to slaughter.

You **must** open the conversation with your friends, relatives, and colleagues. You may be worried what they will think of you. And here is the paradox — they are probably worried sick too, but are also afraid to talk to *you* about it! Open the conversation gently, and when the time is right, lend them your copy of this booklet.

A problem shared is a problem halved!

Propaganda forces people to pretend to believe lies, even when they are clearly false. Seek always the truth.

PROPHECIES

The “Hellish 2050” story given here is fictional. It describes a possible future scenario, given the current trajectory of events. The story also touches upon several prophecies made by the three Abrahamic religions. It should not need to be said, but probably does: the views and opinions expressed by the characters and the narrator within this fictional story are not necessarily the views and opinions of the author. The author would like everyone in the world to get along peacefully, and abandon ancient violent dogmas. We have got to recognise our shared humanity, or else repeat the mistakes of the past and repeat the conflicts of the past with ever more ferocious weapons. There is a warning within this story:

Those who are encouraging Islam to expand into the West are making a serious error. Saudi Arabia, by deceiving our politicians and deceiving susceptible members of our Royal Family, may think that they are doing the Will of Allah by helping Islam to spread. Does funding Mosques, and producing textbooks describing Christians and Jews as monkeys and pigs, really help with social cohesion? The Wahabbis have really no idea what they are creating. They are creating a monster which will very probably come back and destroy them, with the utter destruction of their holy places, and utter destruction of their population too. The infidel technology is far superior to anything created in Islamic lands. And far more destructive.

Suppose that the infidels, with their power to destroy cities at the touch of a button, do indeed convert to Islam. Would that be a good thing for the Wahabbis? No, it would not. Inevitably, there would arise some theological dispute, as often happens between factions of the same religion. In the account of this fictional story, it would be because of accusations of idolatry, the incorrect assumptions regarding the direction of the qibla, and the belief in the three

goddesses. It may not be precisely these three theological differences, but it will be about something. Religions always find something to disagree about and get violent about. Whatever it is about, they will be accused of blasphemy. And for this blasphemy, they will be wiped out. Utterly. Because it can be done, with just a touch of a button. Easy.

Those who want to destroy the Middle East, so that Eretz Israel will be formed out of the ashes, are making a serious error too. By driving the Muslims away to Europe, and thus the consequent movement of Jews from Europe to Israel, due to the increasing anti-Jewish attacks in Europe by the Muslim immigrants, may sound like a smart idea. But it really is not. An Islamised and hostile Europe really is not good for Israel. The more Islamised Europe becomes, the more isolated and friendless Israel will become.

In 1999 Dr. Barbara Lerner Spectre immigrated to Sweden and settled in Stockholm. She has a PhD in Philosophy. She set up Paideia, the European Institute for Jewish Studies. In a media interview, Dr. Spectre stated: "Europe has not yet learned how to be multicultural. And I think we are going to be part of the throes of that transformation, which must take place. Europe is not going to be the monolithic societies [sic] that they once were in the last century. Jews are going to be at the centre of that. It's a huge transformation for Europe to make. They are now going into a multicultural mode, and Jews will be resented because of our leading role. But without that leading role, and without that transformation, Europe will not survive." Dr. Spectre must surely know that this idea of multiculturalism is a lie? It is a lie which is designed to foist Islam onto an unwilling population. Islam is intolerant and demands to be in charge. It is Islam which has not, and indeed cannot, learn to be multicultural.

Christian-Zionists, primarily in America, need to understand that the promised land described as "Eretz Israel" is *already* populated by the descendants of

Abraham. And yes, not all of them are Jewish! America needs to wake up to the serious threat that an Islamised, high technology Europe will be to America, with nuclear missiles on board submarines. And with the religious fanaticism which aims to destroy the “Great Satan” (as America is described by jihadis).

Assuming that the current demographic trajectory continues and that Britain and Europe will indeed become Islamic, what must be done in just the next ten years to prevent it becoming a menace to the whole world? This: every nuclear missile must be destroyed. Every piece of military equipment must be removed. All nuclear material must be removed. This includes the 100+ tonnes of Plutonium from the UK. It means the shutting down and removal of all fuel rods from all the nuclear reactors in Britain and Europe. This probably will lead to the collapse of much of the electricity network. The means of production of any factories which could produce weapons must be dismantled. This means that every car factory, every chemicals plant, every manufacturer of electronics goods, every foundry and furnace, every machine tool, lathe, and 3D printer must be shut down and destroyed. All machinery which could be used to make weapons must be exported to a safe country or destroyed. And done in the next ten years, because after that time, the native populations will no longer have as much say over the governments of their countries.

Time is running out rapidly to save Western civilisation. Anybody who understands this must act now. Yes, you! However small that act may be, do it today. Whether it is writing to your MP, setting up a website, producing a video, messaging on social media, or delivering leaflets for a patriotic political party, get on and do it. It is pointless to regret your inaction when it becomes too late.

Winston Churchill wrote: “The effects [of Islam] are apparent in many countries. Improvident habits, slovenly systems of agriculture, sluggish methods of commerce, and

insecurity of property exist wherever the followers of the Prophet rule or live. A degraded sensualism deprives this life of its grace and refinement; the next of its dignity and sanctity. The fact that in Mohammedan law every woman must belong to some man as his absolute property — either as a child, a wife, or a concubine — must delay the final extinction of slavery until the faith of Islam has ceased to be a great power among men.”

Churchill also spoke out against the gathering totalitarian threat from the Nazis, and was largely ignored and dismissed as a warmonger. Despite the facts. Today those who speak out against the totalitarian threat of Islam are largely ignored and dismissed as Islamophobic. Despite the facts.

Today, Israel is surrounded by millions of homicidal anti-Jewish mainiacs, who would be delighted if the Jews were exterminated. In accordance with Islamic scripture, which is also quoted within the Hamas Charter/Covenant: *“The Day of Judgment will not come until Muslims fight the Jews, when the Jew will hide behind stones and trees. The stones and trees will say, 'O Muslim, O servant of God, there is a Jew behind me, come and kill him.’”*

HELLISH 2050

First published October 2018

Hugo Jenks

Then, when the sacred months have passed, slay the idolaters wherever ye find them, and take them (captive), and besiege them, and prepare for them each ambush... Koran 9:5

Who is it that decides whether someone is an idolater? It is he who has the biggest weapon, of course.

Three weeks ago the Behemoth class submarine, HMS Barak slipped quietly down the Clyde, to play a crucial role in a pre-planned attack. The officer in charge is Captain Omar Rogers. He has been chosen for this mission due to his single-mindedness, his loyalty to the Northern Caliphate, and his grasp of the True Theology. Although other officers were considered for this special mission, none gave such assurance that they would complete the task as Captain Rogers had. Nevertheless, as an additional insurance, also on board is Faith Enforcement Commissar Mohammed Hardy and his contingent of Faith Enforcement Police. Just in case the captain developed any doubts during the execution of the mission.

Faith Enforcement Commissar Hardy, Captain Rogers and most of the officers and crew are of white European parentage. They are all, every one of them, True Believers.

Night has fallen over the Arabian Sea. The date is 4th Safar 1473 AH or by the cursed infidel calendar, it is 21st October 2050. Traditionally Safar is the month in which the houses of the enemy are looted. It is not a sacred month. Below the gentle, innocent and unsuspecting sea surface

HMS Barak glides slowly ahead. Undetected. Prayers have concluded in the Mosque room within the forward section of her hull. The electroluminescent green qibla has traced around the circumference of the room, gradually moving as the voyage progressed. As with all Northern Caliphate vessels, it points not towards Mecca but towards the original source and focus of the True Faith. The location of mountains, rivers, and olive trees, as described in the blessed Quran and in the Hadith, the sayings of The Prophet (PBUH). The Great Origin is at Petra, which was once in Jordan, and is now within the borders of Eretz Israel. The green qibla does not point towards the barren desert location falsely believed by the wrongly misguided to be the origin. Many decades ago the infidel archaeologists had discovered that the very earliest mosques had pointed consistently to a location which was not Mecca. It is so hard for some to see the evidence before their eyes, the clear signs.

Two decades ago an obscure American politician Jeremy Cowbrain had been arguing about Eretz Israel. He said that whilst it is true that, according to scripture, God had promised to Abraham that his descendants would inhabit a vast area of the Middle East, yet it was not the Jews who were the sole descendants of Abraham. What about the Palestinians, were they not descendants of Abraham too? Eretz Israel is a vast area of land bounded by the Nile in the west and extending to the great river Euphrates and from the rising of the sun over the Persian Gulf to the setting of the sun over the Mediterranean. The Christian-Zionists ridiculed Jeremy Cowbrain and accused him of anti-Semitism for opposing the creation of Eretz Israel. Which was ironic, since the Palestinians, who he was vocal in defending, are also Semitic. Mr Cowbrain also tried to persuade anybody within earshot that Jesus had been a vegetarian. As was John the Baptist, and Jesus' own physical brothers including James, and many early Christians too, before Saint Paul hijacked Christianity for his

own aims. It was the physical act of trying to put an end to animal sacrifice in the Temple of Jerusalem which had got Jesus into so much trouble and caused him to be crucified. The Christian-Zionists would have none of it. Was Mr Cowbrain so ignorant that he did not know that the Last Supper meal was a Passover meal? And that eating lamb is an integral part of Passover? "Where does it say in the Bible that they ate lamb at the Last Supper?" he asked. "It describes bread and wine. If they were eating lamb too, then it would surely say so." And he added, but was ignored: "If Jesus was supposed to be the sacrificial lamb himself, why would he be eating lamb? Do you not understand symbolism?" If Jeremy Cowbrain had taken greater care over his personal appearance then maybe they would have listened to him more carefully. Nobody takes any notice of someone who resembles a vagrant.

Within the vessel, the crew is busy preparing for a missile launch. A task which had been practised many times and perfected. Somehow, and this might sound heretical, there had grown a bond between the men and the missiles. Respect, awe, even dare we say it, idol worship. As though the phallic missiles were there to sow seeds in the tith of a target city, their prepared seed-bed. They had the power to go in there, whenever and however they wished. The seed-bed city had no option but to submit. Seeds of destruction sown.

When an argument cannot be won by clear evidence and logical reason, then it has to be won by force. Those in the Northern Caliphate certainly have the force, and are about to win the argument with the lesser-believers! The idolaters ignored the clear evidence, and they have shunned the warnings. They are destined for the eternal fires of Hell anyway. It is seen as the will of Allah that they burn.

We cannot give a true picture of the geopolitical condition without briefly describing the technology of our

weapons. Of course, it is based upon the predecessor infidel technology, which for many years, centuries indeed, had been far superior to anything developed in Islamic lands. This fact alone spread disbelief because The Prophet (PBUH) had himself said that no infidel could prevail militarily against the believers. And yet every flintlock rifle, every machine gun, every aeroplane dropping bombs, every steel-hulled ship and every submarine with nuclear weapons had shown, again and again, in conflict after conflict, really since the great sea battle of Lepanto in the infidel calendar year 1571, that the infidel was superior and that the believer was no match for them.

The way to success is to persuade the infidel to revert to the True Faith. Their technical and scientific skills, hybridised with the dedication and self-sacrifice of religious piety, is a truly awesome and unstoppable combination. The world has never seen such a combination before, and it is the Northern Caliphate which has, by the will of Allah, inherited the genes from both parents. The technology gene, and the faith gene. Hybrids, such as between a lion and a tiger, are generally more powerful, larger, and more ferocious than either parent. Oh, come on, you maybe think if you are of the lesser-believers. You will be telling us next that The Prophet (PBUH) was a white man! Just because he swapped two of his African slaves for one Arab slave does not make him a white racist. Does it? Was the legendary black boxer Cassius Clay so badly informed, that he changed his name from that of a liberator of slaves to that of his traditional ancestral captors? Really?

Amidships, in the missile tubes, eight Cerberus missiles are arranged in ranks. The rocket motors are fairly conventional solid fuel type. Tried and tested technology. Nothing particularly innovative, they just work, reliably and competently. It is the payload which is special. It has an astonishing power equivalent to 60 megatonnes of TNT. Many years ago the Soviet Union detonated a bomb of comparable tonnage. However back then, they were large,

difficult to handle, and physically heavy, and as such were impractical as weapons. It was almost a suicide mission to drop them from an aeroplane, even though the bomb itself was slowed with a parachute. And why would you need such a large blast anyway, when a much smaller weapon would obliterate a city? For this mission, however, this is somewhat missing the point. The point is to create such a violent blast, and intense heat, that no trace of what has gone before will remain. Allah will cause to be forgotten his own past contradictory actions. Abrogated. A lake of fire, in which all is consumed. And any memory of it — Allah will cause to be forgotten. Hellfire on earth.

The warhead itself is called “Black Stone”. It descends from the heavens, as though by magic. The surface of it is so incredibly black that it absorbs almost all light. If you look at it you cannot even tell what shape it is. Those who handle it must do so with special gloves, for even a very thin deposit of grease from bare hands would make it a thousand times more visible. And it is also black at other important wavelengths outside the visible spectrum. It also manoeuvres during the descent, so that even if some improbable technology was developed to see it, any interceptor missile would have a hard time keeping track of it. It also has a highly ingenious way to mask its thermal signature. Any object travelling supersonically creates a pressure wave ahead of it. Compressing the air causes it to heat up. Usually, this heat is transferred to the object, and it glows red-hot. Which of course is visible and detectable. Black Stone, by carefully shaped ducting, is able to retain this excess pressure within its form, and from most observation angles the heat cannot be seen. In basic terms, it will almost certainly not be intercepted. However, with the sheer physical weight of the payload, the range of the missile is constrained. Hence, the submarine must launch from a location within a thousand miles of the target.

The technology of an advanced civilisation appears to be pure magic to those who never saw it before. To The

Prophet (PBUH), the original black stone meteorite, revered like an idol and set into the wall of the Kaaba was brought down from the heavens as if by magic. The destructive power of this particular meteorite, made by Allah, is far surpassed by the power of the man-made Black Stone warhead.

Captain Rogers checks the coordinates of the target which Cerberus number one has been programmed to aim for. Two trusted officers check the figures again. It is important to get this spot on, even though the blast radius is enormous, it is the symbolism which matters, as well as the physical effect. Faith Enforcement Commissar Hardy keeps an intense observation of proceedings, and a dozen Faith Enforcement Police keep a careful watch on FEC Hardy, ready to act on any command he gives, including summary execution. Any discontent which he may express with the performance of the captain or any member of the crew can be dealt with swiftly. He has the power of life and death over every man on board. Metaphorically he holds a pair of scissors, and at any instant, he can cut the thread of fate which holds that man alive. Cut with surgical precision. Just as did the goddess Manat, one of the three female companions of Allah. As revealed to The Prophet (PBUH) in the so-called "Satanic Verses".

The coordinates are 21.422487266 N, 39.826206071 E, or in the navigation system based on Mecca Mean Time, devised by the semi-believers, who really should be regarded as apostates, the coordinates are 0.000000000 N, 0.000000000 E. The target is indeed the Kaaba itself. Precisely aiming at the black stone meteorite, set within a silver vulva in the corner of the building. This meteorite has become an idol in itself. And as such, it is an object which encourages disbelief. All missile checks having been completed, the launch timer is then programmed. The time of impact must be carefully computed, and the launch time and time of flight computed, based upon the actual position of the vessel. Time is measured to within a fraction of a

fraction of a second. An array of caesium atomic clocks onboard keep a watch on passing nanoseconds, as do those on the sister vessels. All actions are coordinated through the measurement of the passage of time. As though pre-ordained.

On board, there is one primary standard atomic clock and three secondary atomic clocks. The three companion clocks are called Lat, Uzza, and Manat, or in English, their names are Moon, Venus, and Fate. The three female companions are constantly checking the one fountain of time. If he should ever falter, which almost never happens, they can detect the mistake, and consult among themselves to see who knows the time the best. Three females to bear witness against one male.

The symbolism of the Moon and Venus (also called the morning star, or “the bringer of light” because it precedes sunrise) have been part of Islamic theology from the earliest years. Almost every Mosque carries images of these two heavenly bodies. Why cannot the semi-believers accept that they represent two of the three goddesses? Are they too dull to see the clear evidence right in front of their eyes? Why do they persist in denouncing us of the Northern Caliphate as apostates?

Waiting. Waiting. The time to launch is displayed in large red friendly digits, on the bulkheads of the operational compartments. Ticking down, and down, towards zero. It is at this moment that any latent insanity will exhibit itself, such that a concern for our shared humanity could arise. Fortunately for the mission, no such doubts expressed themselves.

Launch! The hatch above the missile opens, and a great rush of compressed air pushes it up through the column of water. The rocket motor fires without hesitation, and it is on its way. Almost instantly a sensor on a satellite detects the rocket plume. It detects numerous others too from other submarines of the Northern Caliphate navy. A

few interceptor missiles are launched, but they cannot lock on — the technology of the Northern Caliphate is superior, and easily decoys and deceives the technology of the semi-believers of the south. Deception of enemies is Halal — meaning lawful or permissible.

Black Stone separates from the Cerberus rocket and plummets down. It does not travel straight down, but weaves around in a seemingly random path, to throw off any interception missiles. Six Scapegoat decoys also fly down, following more conventional ballistic paths. Easier to track, the decoys are also deliberately far more visible to Radar and optical sensors.

This ancient Jewish idea of a scapegoat is strange. That the sins of all the people of a town or a village could be unloaded onto a dumb animal is, at the least, wishful thinking. It is a form of idolatry. Allah is not fooled by such trickery, and it is vain to believe that it is possible to deceive him. No, every person is individually responsible for their own sins and is answerable individually on judgment day.

The seemingly random path had been pre-computed. Taking into account the atmospheric conditions, air density, temperature, and wind speed and direction. It arrived at its destination precisely at the same time as all the other Black Stone warheads arrived at their own destinies. Absolutely precisely the same time is of course not possible. However, to be within a few hundredths of a second of each other is pretty accurate. The atmosphere is a chaotic system, and precise extrapolation of its behaviour is simply not possible, no matter how powerful the computer is that is doing the calculations. Or indeed, however accurately the initial conditions were known.

It makes you wonder about the question of free will versus prophetic destiny. If people really do have free will, then it is impossible for anyone to predict the future with any sort of accuracy. And yet The Prophet (PBUH) has bequeathed to us some very precise information regarding

the end times conflict. In reality, we have no free will in these matters. It is the will of Allah that we work towards the implementation of the prophecies. The semi-believers are standing in the way. They refused to change their evil idolatry, and like the sinners of the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah, Allah will sweep them away. Of course, with the help of our superior technology.

Instantaneously brighter than a thousand suns Black Stone erupts. The pent-up energy within the atomic nuclei release, like the springs on a billion billion mouse traps, all clacking together. During its existence Black Stone had absorbed so much light and given almost nothing back, now it releases far more brilliance than it ever absorbed.

In the beginning, Allah said “let there be light”, and there was light. Now man has become as gods.

A wall of intense energy spreads out at immense speed, vapourising the original black stone meteorite. Even when it fell to earth 80,000 years ago it had not reached this immense temperature. Also vapourising the Kaaba and the building surrounding it. And nearly eight million pilgrims and residents of the city. Instantaneous Hellfire in this world, and for their idolatry, the next world too. If by chance there were a few True Believers among them, then it is not regarded as murder, for the intention was not to kill them. They just happened to be in the way when the bomb exploded. They will, of course, be seen by the All-Merciful as Shahids, martyrs, and given an exalted place in Paradise.

Also vapourised, mangled and unrecognisable is the immense clock with four faces and the huge clock tower in which it was housed. It had been visible from a distance of sixteen miles, the most immense, impressive, and yet the most horribly distasteful clock in the world. It had itself become the idol of idols. The act of the marking of the passage of time is in a way a form of idolatry. Can they not see it? Allah is outside the bounds of time. The concept of

time is a man-made construct — an idol itself. Worshiping the expression of this construct is surely idolatry upon idolatry?

On board HMS Barak the FEC, the captain, the officers, and the crew had been monitoring the progress of their missile. It struck the target. As expected. There was pride in the first phase of their mission accomplished, however, there was not much exaltation. More, an acceptance of their role in the fulfillment of prophecy. They were doing the will of Allah. And in the Quran, it does say you may not like to fight the idolators, nevertheless, it is the duty of every able-bodied True Believer to do so. When the sacred months are over, and however you are able. And wherever you find them, they must be killed. The verse 9:5 in the Quran is quite clear and unambiguous regarding this instruction. And we are also in the month of Safar, the month for looting the house of the enemy. We have certainly looted the Kaaba house and removed the black stone idol.

A wall of radiant heat passes over the water surface above the location of the submarine. Any surface vessels which may have been hunting the submarine now have more pressing matters to deal with — the paint blisters and peels, flags spontaneously combust, exposed flesh melts. And all on board are about to die.

Then there is the immense blast. The over-pressure pushes down on the water surface, shortly followed by the great sucking under-pressure. It creates a man-made tsunami. Definitely noticeable on the submarine — which is why every seat has a seat belt. And every man had been ordered in advance to tighten it up. Every loose object had been stowed and secured. The submarine takes it easily — it has been designed and constructed to do so. Pity the poor souls on the distant shore when the tsunami arrives. But there is not much pity in this conflict. It is to the death, only one or other can survive. The north or the south, but not both.

HMS Barak still has seven Cerberus missiles. They have all been given allocated targets. The next prophecy to be fulfilled is from an earlier part of The Book. Not the part that was given to The Prophet (PBUH) but the part given to the ancient Jewish prophets:

“Son of man, set thy face toward Gog, of the land of Magog, the prince of Rosh, Meshech, and Tubal, and prophesy against him... Persia, Ethiopia and Libya with them; all of them with shield and helmet: Gomer and Togarmah in the uttermost parts of the north, and all his hordes: even many people’s with thee... And thou shalt come from thy place out of the north parts, thou, and many people with thee, all of them riding upon horses, a great company, and a mighty army: And thou shalt come up against my people of Israel, as a cloud to cover the land; it shall be in the latter days.” *Ezekiel 38:1-16*

Rosh, as detailed in this ancient prophecy is of course Russia. It is now eight years since the Treaty of Moscow officially brought into existence the Northern Caliphate. Our own monarch, King Abdul Windsor signed the treaty document, pledging allegiance to Caliph Mohammed Romanov. And so did the monarchs of all the northern nations of Europe and the former Russian Federation. And so did the presidents of those countries which have no monarchy. We feel the hand of history upon our shoulders. It is our duty to Allah and to The Prophet (PBUH) to fulfil the ancient prophecies, and cleanse the usurpers from the Promised Land. And their supporters too. Only complete extermination will be sufficient.

HMS Barak turns away, a south-easterly course is set. The speed is unhurried. Avoiding detection takes priority over speed. The next targets are thousands of miles away and scheduled for destruction in a little over two months time. The targets are genuine infidels, rather than semi-believers. There is an easing of tension among the members of the crew. Performing the will of Allah is not

without some human wish to hold back. Killing infidels comes with no qualms whatsoever though.

In Washington President Jeremy Cowbrain received the news of the utter destruction of the Wahab Caliphate with a mixture of incredulity and admiration, despite his superficial pacifistic facade. “And you tell me that not even a single village in Eretz Israel was hit?” he gurned at the hapless bringer of the news. “And you tell me that hundreds of the missiles overflowed Eretz Israel, and yet there was no effort to intercept them?” At the best of times, he struggled to hide his rage and Jew-hatred behind his usual meaningless left-wing platitudes and virtue signalling. Today was not the best of times.

Nearly two terms of the tenure of President Cowbrain has left America with seriously depleted defences. His policy of aggressive demilitarisation in the face of the rapid arming of the Northern Caliphate was, so his theory went, intended to encourage them to follow. Fat chance. True Believers respect one thing only: strength. Nevertheless, by some measures, America is still a world power militarily.

In Washington the red telephone rings. Caliph Romanov himself, calling President Cowbrain. The Caliph speaks calmly and authoritatively. The President does not. At times of stress, his black eyebrows move up and down, more or less independently, like a pair of dancing slugs. Gradually the slugs become less animated below the thatch of grey hair. And now they are pacified entirely. You almost think that a nesting beetle might find it now safe enough to crawl down from the thatch and join the pacified slugs for afternoon tea.

On board HMS Barak the Navigation Officer carefully examines the reports of the positions and movements of the anti-submarine drones in the Pacific Ocean. He sees that there are still 72,381 operational drones arrayed against him, despite the cutbacks in American defence spending. You see, the drones are autonomous, they are wave

powered and so require no refuelling, and need virtually no maintenance. They move silently, although at only a maximum of eight knots, depending on the sea state. Their mean time between failure is twenty-three years. Unfortunately for America, they do use a legacy data encryption system, which the Northern Caliphate cracked recently. And this is the reason why this mission can proceed.

President Cowbrain puts down the red telephone and beams to the assembled staff. "You see, my defence strategy is completely vindicated. The Caliph himself has explained that the disagreement was purely between different strands of Islam. He has concluded that argument now and is recalling all submarines and other military hardware. He has no argument with America at all, we are completely safe." And, with every effort not to sound disappointed, the President adds: "The Caliph has no argument with Eretz Israel either."

There is elation within the cities of the Northern Caliphate, street parties, celebrations, speeches, military parades. Nobody can quite believe that the war which had been planned for, really for decades, was over within just a few hours. And yet it is true. The great spreader of lies about the True Faith had been eliminated. The Wahab Caliphate is being caused to be forgotten, by the will of Allah. Abrogated, you might say, by the Northern Caliphate.

Within the True Faith it is perfectly permissible, a religious duty, in fact, to deceive the infidel if it helps to advance the cause of Allah. There are numerous types of deceit, and they even have names: Taqiyya, Kitman, Tawriya, and Muruna. Yes, it is true that Taqiyya is a Shiite term only, however, the Northern Caliphate had resolved the dispute between Sunni and Shia strands, and had also corrected some theological confusion, such as the direction of the qibla, and the recognition of the three goddesses, the companions of Allah. Caliph Romanov is expert at all

methods of deceiving the infidel and has even invented some new methods himself. He does it so smoothly and automatically that it goes completely undetected.

Captain Rogers rests in his cabin. Not quite awake, and not yet asleep. Yes, it was a good day. Yes, every man has performed his duty. As expected. Royal Navy traditions continue, despite a change in ownership, so to say. He is satisfied. He sleeps the sleep of the just. The vaporised remains of so many millions of unfortunate souls might disagree, but they do not count. Fortune favours the bold.

In his younger years, Mohammed Romanov had spent much time and effort studying 20th century European history. He studied where the successes had been, he studied where the mistakes had been made. He also studied who it was that was behind the destruction of his own ancestral bloodline. A dispassionate observer would say that he was obsessed and maybe unhinged. And yet he had all the facts committed to memory, and he could talk. He could hold the rapt attention of the simple man and the scholar alike. And he came to a simple and yet startling realisation: the reason why Hitler could not eliminate the Jews was that he had not eliminated America first. Now as Caliph, Romanov was not about to make that same mistake.

Dawn prayers are led by Faith Enforcement Commissar Hardy. The green glowing qibla is in a quite different position within the cylindrical Mosque room, compared to the last time prayers were offered to Allah. He intones with a deep, sonorous, controlled voice. Years at sea had taught him what men need at times like this. The men still feared him, but they appreciated his strength and calmness. If Allah would listen to the prayers of any man, then surely it was the prayers of this man which would be heeded.

President Jeremy Cowbrain is like a man possessed. Utterly convinced that he is correct and that all the military men are wrong, he issues executive order after executive order, to dismantle what remains of the military machine.

Flint faced, rugged military men, seeing all that they have toiled for dismantled, are in despair. He has developed a real rapport, a friendship even, with the Caliph, and looks forward to their weekly chats. The president is especially looking forward to his winter holiday. In Stockholm, as the special and honoured guest of the Caliph. The genocidal executioner and the outward pacifist have more in common than they realise.

The best way to wage war on your enemy is to make them think that you are not at war. Everything has calmed down. Consumerism has returned with a vengeance. In China, they are busy making plastic models of the Kaaba with integral fireworks. They also continue apace with Father Christmas on a cross. A curious best-seller this Christmas. The Chinese factory workers are somewhat bemused by American Christians. Still, if they are buying this stuff, and if the Northern Caliphate children are happily playing with exploding Kaabas, who cares?

Nobody quite knows how the crucified Father Christmas fad came about. Probably it started with some misunderstanding in a Chinese factory. However, it really took off when atheist parents, anxious not to disappoint their own children at Christmas time, and yet wishing to annoy their Christian-Zionist neighbours, started to buy them. Some were enormous, two or three times life-size. Inflatable, bobbing about in the breeze and flashing red and brown at a rate carefully calibrated to bring on epileptic fits among those susceptible to it. And like all such memes, once a few are hooked, it spreads rapidly.

President Cowbrain recalled how years earlier he had argued that Jesus had been a vegetarian. The Christian-Zionists had ridiculed him and called his sanity into question. "What about the scriptures describing Jesus eating fish? You really are ignorant." Yes, whataboutery. His opponents simply were not interested in getting to the bottom of the question itself, rather they used verses from

scripture as weapons, in order to boost their own egos and to make him look like a fool. In vain Jeremy Cowbrain had tried to explain that, by examining the original Greek of the Gospels, some scholars thought there were signs that some verses had been added later, in order to “disprove” the heresy that Jesus had returned in spirit only after the crucifixion, and not as a physical body. Of course, a spirit would not need to eat boiled fish. “Ha, ha ha!” They mocked him. “You will be telling us next that Mohammed was a vegetarian too!” They added: “Well at least Mohammed would agree with you that the Gospels had been edited by later authors.” More recently, President Cowbrain had the sobering thought that Islam might not have come into existence, had this editing of the Gospels not occurred. Disagreements between different sects of Christianity regarding which scriptures were valid or not was a major factor in Mohammed rejecting Christianity. There is even some suggestion that one sect of Christianity had set up Mohammed to help purge another sect. If this is true, it is fair to say that he somewhat overdid it!

Only one Behemoth class submarine is detected in the Pacific Ocean. Since it is just a one-off event, and no track of detections was formed, it is dismissed as an equipment error. All other submarines stayed at least one hundred miles from each anti-submarine drone and travelling slowly at the pinch-points, so there is not much chance of being detected.

On Christmas Day in Stockholm, Jeremy Cowbrain enjoys being thrashed by nubile Swedish women holding bundles of twigs. He was not expecting this behaviour in an Islamic country! The fasces hurt him a bit, but he quite likes them.

The New Temple in Jerusalem is arguably the most amazing building in the world. It is not the tallest by any means. It is not the widest. Nor is the shape of it particularly astonishing — it has a simple rectangular form. No, the

aspect of it which is breathtaking is the stone which it is made of. The stone is artificially produced and is more crystalline and jewel-like than anything else used on this large scale. The stone is translucent and has a strange milky appearance, and yet is iridescent like mother-of-pearl. It also glints and sparkles like diamonds. Despite the great thickness of the stone blocks, the daylight percolates through to the interior, which is bright and yet cool even on the hottest days. The crystal structure of the stone forms constructive and destructive interference patterns of light, which move and shimmer as the sun passes along its track in the sky. The effect is mesmerising and beautiful. And yet the most extraordinary feature is that the stones make sounds resembling human voices. How is that possible? It is certainly not by the use of electronic gizmos or hidden loudspeakers. It is the whole structure which sings, resonates in a chorus. Not excessively loudly, but definitely perceptible. How is this happening? Have you heard of singing sand? Also called whistling sand or booming sand. Natural processes sort the sand so that all the particles are the same size. As you walk on it, entire layers of the sand move as one, resonating together. The artificial stone has been made with clear spheres of silica, all the same size. There is no mortar between the blocks, and as they expand or shrink due to changes in temperature, they slide over each other and make these sounds. Everybody perceives different words, in their own language, and giving meaning, reflecting and responding to their own temperament and mood. These voices from the stones blend with the sounds of the lowing of the cattle, and the bleating of the sheep in the Temple courtyard. Awaiting their time of sacrifice. The blood sacrifice to the greater good. The physical pain which the animals endure make it a genuine sacrifice, as was the physical pain experienced by Jesus on the cross. A quick and painless death, by means of a rapid blow to the head, would not have been a sacrifice at all. The death has to be lingering and painful for it to be acceptable to God. Due to

the great strength of the structure, the Temple is also used as an air raid shelter.

When Jesus wept it was because he prophesied that not a single stone of the Temple of Jerusalem would stand upon another. He was almost, but not quite correct. The Wailing Wall was part of the Temple complex, and of course, it is still standing. Soon someone else would prophesy that not one stone of the New Temple of Jerusalem would stand upon another. And she would be wrong too, but for a different reason.

On Christmas Day in America, twenty million Father Christmases on crosses are instantaneously and simultaneously incinerated. Along with all the people who had purchased them. And also along with all those people who had not purchased them.

In Jerusalem, the president of Eretz Israel receives a telephone call from Stockholm. The member of staff holds his hand over the mouthpiece and says: "It is Jeremy Cowbrain. He is, err.. currently unemployed. He is wondering what he can do to help. Is there a role for a Middle East Peace Envoy?" President Liebowitz puts her head into her hands and weeps. This is going to end up worse than Masada and the Holocaust and all the pogroms combined. Even the wonderful New Temple would be blown apart she prophesied. In fact, she was wrong. It was about to be transformed into a massive blob of glass. The abomination of desolation.

POSTSCRIPT

This fictional story describes one possible scenario, if nothing is done right now to halt the spread of Islam into Western countries. Other possible scenarios are not good either. As a minimum, entire populations will become Dhimmis, second class citizens, subject to Sharia law.

The warning signs are all there, however these are largely ignored. Every single part of the establishment has failed, and is giving in to become Dhimmis — bowing down in a state of servitude and inferiority to Islam. Every part of society is a failure: political parties, the media, the monarchy, the judiciary, the civil service, the established church, the police, and the armed forces.

Can you yourself have the courage to help reverse this tragedy, before it reaches the point of no return? We do not have much time remaining.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Several people have helped to check this work. The author thanks them for their time, their energy, and their thoughtful suggestions. It is a sad and concerning commentary on the dangerous state of Western nations, that these concerned citizens do not wish to be named.